

Urban Development Smart Grid Roadmap – Christchurch Recovery Project

APEC Energy Working Group

March 2014

APEC Project S EWG 08 12

Urban Development Smart Grid Roadmap – Christchurch Recovery Project

Produced by

Sapere Research Group Level 9, 1 Willeston St PO Box 587 Wellington 6140 Ph: +64 4 915 7590 Fax: +64 4 915 7596 Email: tstevenson@srgexpert.com Website[: www.srgexpert.com](http://www.srgexpert.com/)

Authors:

Toby Stevenson John Hancock Ashley Milkop Simon Orme John Scott Stuart Shepherd James Swansson

For

Asia-Pacific Economic Secretariat 35 Heng Mui Keng Terrace Singapore 119616 Tel: (65) 68919 600 Fax: (65) 68919 690 Email: info@apec.org Website[: www.apec.org](http://www.apec.org/)

© 2014 APEC Secretariat

APEC#214-RE-01.3

About Sapere Research Group

Sapere Research Group is one of the largest expert services firms in Australasia. Sapere provides independent expert testimony, strategic advisory services, data analytics and other advice to Australasia's private sector corporate clients, major law firms, government agencies, and regulatory bodies.

For the purpose of this report Sapere brought together a highly experienced project team with significant expertise in the key areas required to deliver the Roadmap for Achieving a Smarter Grid through the Christchurch Recovery.

About this report

This report is titled Urban Development Smart Grid Roadmap- Christchurch recovery project. It is requested and funded by the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Energy Working Group. The project is managed by the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority of New Zealand (EECA) and a Steering Group of key stakeholders has overseen the project.

The project report follows the process of identifying what is meant by the term smart grid and the discrete features of a smart grid that can be measured. A model was developed to measure the individual benefits to determine where the key value areas lie.

The same approach could be applied to any other city seeking to understand the smart grid potential from a consumers' benefit perspective. There is no single blue-print for a smart grid and we have drawn on international experiences to identify the meaning of smart grid and the application to the Christchurch circumstance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive summary

This *project* set out to develop a roadmap for a smart grid in the context of the Christchurch rebuild following the devastation caused by the 2011 earthquakes. The project arose from the idea that the rebuild offers an opportunity to establish a smart grid and a roadmap would set out how this could be achieved. This report:

- Sets out an understanding of what constitutes a "smart grid";
- Examines smart grid opportunities in the context of the Christchurch rebuild;
- Quantifies smart grid benefits for Christchurch, using an approach that could be applied in any community; and
- Identifies implications for Christchurch, other networks in New Zealand and other disaster prone communities.

Smart grid

A "smart grid" approach to electricity service delivery includes network operations, consumer engagement and accounts for all forms of future consumer demand for delivery of electricity.

Adopting a smart grid approach to system delivery implies a combination of conventional solutions, smart solutions and smart enablers.

The term smart grid covers the system wide utilisation of emerging technology to meet the future demands consumers will make on the network. Some of these demands will reduce load (e.g. heat pumps), some will change load patterns (e.g. small scale distributed generation) and some will increase load e.g. electric vehicles.

Infrastructure supporting the electricity industry is made up of long-life assets. Capability building for smart grid is a system wide issue and has to begin before it is required. It is also the product of decisions made by many stakeholders with a variety of interests. Relevant stakeholders include regulators and government agencies responsible for delivering public policy outcomes.

Christchurch

The findings with respect to Christchurch were unexpected. The opportunities of a smart grid approach are relevant in networks seeking greater efficiencies driven by technology changes in electricity system delivery. However following a disaster like the Christchurch earthquakes, everyone's top priority is to re-establish buildings and infrastructure so the disrupted population can restore their lives to a new form of normalcy.

Rebuilding is a multi-year project requiring coordination across many organisations and the whole community.

Leadership and coordination amongst providers of all aspects of energy delivery (including energy efficiency) is the pressing issue for Christchurch. Christchurch may benefit by convening a forum for the exchange of ideas and coordination of a sustainable energy strategy for Christchurch. This would help business rebuilding the city to take the opportunity to build for better energy performance and take changing energy demands into account.

In the context of the Christchurch recovery the biggest and most accessible benefits are to be found by incorporating energy efficiency measures in domestic and commercial buildings during the rebuild.

This project estimated the gross benefits^{[1](#page-5-0)} of a smarter grid based on a future view of consumers' requirements in a rebuilt Christchurch and current technology trends in network operations. Real data from Orion and other domestic sources plus a variety of international studies on consumer requirements were taken into account and these were converted into the Christchurch context.

This project identified that based on conservative assumptions there are significant economic benefits from a smarter grid for Christchurch.[2](#page-5-1) The present value of the full potential gross benefit of smart grids for Christchurch is assessed as: \$161 million by 2023 and \$690 million by 2033. If the benefit of energy efficient buildings is included the benefits are \$291 million by 2023 and \$1,016 million by 2033.

Similar benefits are achievable in other communities that have not suffered a disaster.

Smart grid in disaster prone areas

A network built with a smart grid approach will be a more resilient network as a result of more distributed generation and storage (especially in the form of plug-in-electric-vehicles). This has particular relevance for communities that may face disasters such as an earthquake in the future. A more resilient electricity system will have less disruption than a traditional network following a major disaster. Following a disaster priorities change and the focus shifts to regaining some sort of normalcy. The conclusion from this work is that is the advantage of smart grid would be evident when disaster strikes but a smart grid road map is of not so relevant after the event.

Lessons for New Zealand

.

The method used to calculate benefits for Christchurch consumers can be repeated for any other network in New Zealand. Based on the findings for Christchurch it appears likely that all New Zealand consumers will benefit from a smart grid approach.

To the extent that there are barriers to achieving gains from a smart grid approach these tend to be matters of commercial and regulatory arrangements and the incentives on service providers (distributors, retailers and other service providers) to meet consumer demand. Those barriers are best addressed at a national level and with involvement from the market and economic regulators.

A national smart grid implementation group (along the lines of the Great Britain (GB) smart grid forum) would usefully clarify the future needs of New Zealand consumers nationally and provide support to addressing barriers to delivering the benefits of a smart grid approach

¹ We have focused on gross benefits as there are various possible paths, and by implication a range of possible costs, to realise these benefits. The gross benefit approach provides an estimate of the possible returns (or prize) that could be secured from smarter grids, within which stakeholders can then consider the cost effectiveness of various paths to secure those benefits.

² For the purpose of this report "Christchurch" corresponds to the Orion network area

1 Background and approach to this report

1.1 Introduction

.

The objective of this project was to prepare a Roadmap for Achieving a Smarter Grid through the Christchurch recovery. The goals for the roadmap were to:

- Set out a practical course of action to cost effectively maximise the social, environmental and economic benefits of a smarter grid system in Christchurch;
- Provide a guide for smarter grid development during the Christchurch rebuild; and
- Provide findings that are of value for New Zealand and a case study that is relevant to the APEC community.

As a first step, we have identified and calculated the benefits possible to Christchurch consumers through the development of a smart grid. This is contained in chapter 2. An understanding of the benefits is vital in order to achieve the other goals of this report. The same approach could be applied to any other city seeking to understand the smart grid potential from a consumers' benefit perspective.

Chapter 3 sets out the findings of the project team, and a recommended way forward – based on the benefits modelling and other information gathered during the course of the project, including interview data.

1.2 Smart grid – definition and characteristics

The project team found many definitions of smart grid in publications and reports from different countries prepared for many different purposes. The definition below captures the project team's best understanding of what is meant by "smart grid":

A Smart Grid is an electricity network that can intelligently integrate the actions of all users connected to it – generators, consumers and those that do both – in order to efficiently deliver sustainable, economic and secure electricity supplies.[3](#page-6-1)

A smarter grid is a transformation in the way electricity is generated, distributed, retailed and consumed. A smart grid delivers network benefits, greater choices for consumers about how energy is purchased and used, and energy efficiency improvements. Some of the choices consumers will want in the future are not necessarily economic today such as small scale distributed generation and electric vehicles. The electricity infrastructure to support these choices is made up of long-life assets under conventional systems. Therefore the adoption of smart grid techniques is a current issue as a move to a smart grid approach needs to be aligned with the investment cycle rather than the demand cycle. The rebuild of Christchurch may be an opportunity to take account of how future demands from consumers might be met more efficiently by addressing current planning.

In practical terms the definition given above describes the state of a network with the following characteristics:

³ European Technology Platform Smart Grids, Strategic Deployment Document for Europe's Electricity Networks of the Future, September 2008

- Enablers such as two way communications and a great deal more information on the performance of assets and consumer activity than in the past;
- Two way electricity flows i.e. exports back into the network from excess distributed generation or from distributed storage;
- Greater ability for consumers to manage load as a result of in-home and building energy management systems (HEMS and BMS) driving off smart meters, more diverse product offerings notably innovative tariffs and, where applicable, distributed generation (DG) and energy storage;
- Greater opportunity for load to be managed remotely. This includes traditional and new forms of load control;
- Effective accommodation of plug in electric vehicles (PIEVs) once they have reached a level of penetration that impacts on network operations; and
- Takes advantage of technology that provides for better network operations, more effective asset management, greater automation and more responsive demand.

1.2 The Christchurch earthquakes and the recovery task

Orion owns and operates the electricity distribution network servicing the Christchurch and central Canterbury region. Its network prices and network quality standards are regulated by the economic regulator the Commerce Commission (the Commission) under Part 4 of the Act.

Orion has applied for a customised price path(CPP) because its post-earthquake circumstances are no longer able to be accommodated within its current Default Price-Quality Path (DPP) settings. This is because of the significant impacts of the catastrophic earthquakes on its business. This extract from Orion's application to the Commission for a CPP summarises what occurred:^{[4](#page-7-0)}

On 4 September 2010 Canterbury was hit by a 7.1 magnitude earthquake. The earthquake had an epicentre about 40km west of Christchurch City. There were no fatalities as a result of this earthquake but there was widespread damage to local infrastructure and buildings. The eastern suburbs of Christchurch and the Kaiapoi township were seriously affected by liquefaction and lateral ground movement.

An aftershock sequence of more than 12,000 aftershocks of varying magnitude began that day and the sequence is ongoing. All of the earthquakes experienced since are the result of ruptures on faults not known to be active prior to September 2010. Major earthquakes followed, the most notable being the deadly and devastating 6.3 magnitude earthquake on 22 February 2011 that struck near Lyttelton on the Port Hills, the 5.7 and 6.3 magnitude earthquakes of 13 June 2011, and the 5.8 and 6.0 magnitude earthquakes of 23 December 2011.

The event on 22 February 2011 was by far the most serious, resulting in 185 deaths.

In the worst-affected suburbs, houses and businesses were without power, water and sewerage for some time, and roads were damaged and unsafe. The Government declared a State of National Emergency in New Zealand on 23 February 2011, which remained in place for almost nine weeks. This is the first State of National Emergency in New Zealand's history

.

⁴ Orion Proposal for a customised price-quality path 19 February 2013

declared in response to a civil defence emergency, illustrating the unique circumstances that arose in Canterbury.

In the months following the earthquake, the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA) was created as an arm of Government to lead the region's recovery and rebuild, led by former Orion Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Roger Sutton. Orion's leadership and highly effective earthquake responses were recognised with this appointment.

As a result of the earthquakes, the Christchurch central business district (CBD) was altered irrevocably. By mid-2012, the CERA estimated that more than 650 buildings had been demolished in the CBD. CERA estimates that there will be over 1,100 CBD building demolitions. This widespread destruction not only has a severe economic impact on Canterbury, it has also imposed significant social and cultural costs to our region and its people.

All things considered the electricity grid performed relatively well in the earthquakes. Temporary repairs were quickly implemented, and long-term repairs are ongoing. However, the scale of built and municipal infrastructure replacement that will occur in Christchurch over the coming years means that investment choices made now for will have long lasting effects.

The rebuild of Christchurch presents an opportunity to take account of how future demands from consumers might be met more efficiently by addressing current planning. In reality, following a disaster such as the earthquakes in Christchurch, emphasis for the whole community becomes about getting people's lives back into some sort of new normalcy. This takes many years and, as a result of the disaster, people's priorities are different than they would have been otherwise. For example, individuals face many costs across a broader front so building to least cost becomes more of a focus than would normally be the case. Another example in Christchurch is that seismic strengthening has become a higher priority than energy efficiency which wouldn't have been the case before the earthquakes. The upshot is that restoration is more urgent than future thinking in many instances.

1.3 An APEC Perspective

The APEC Smart Grid Initiative (ASGI) has been established to evaluate the potential of smart grids to support the integration of intermittent renewable energies and energy management approaches in buildings and industry.

The APEC Energy Working Group notes:^{[5](#page-8-0)}

.

APEC members are in various states of smart grid development, ranging from no activity, conducting demonstrations, and engaging in joint projects with other economies. Each member economy has unique attributes that influence the benefits of smart grid capabilities and affect the priorities given to deployment strategies

The findings from this study into the value of benefits of smart grid are relevant in all networks in the APEC member economies. However, an additional benefit of smart grid relevant to disaster prone areas in APEC comes from setting up smart grids in a way such that sub grids or "micro grids" are established so areas islanded following a disaster can still function.

⁵ APEC Energy Working Group *Using Smart Grids to Enhance the Use of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Technologies* May 2011

1.4 A New Zealand wide perspective

The potential gains from smart grid are not just a Christchurch issue and are not confined to communities suffering from a disaster. The definitions and methodology used in this report are applicable to all networks and relevant to a national approach. Decision making in the electricity sector has evolved over the past century and some of the smart grid opportunities may be inhibited by nationwide institutional arrangements – which is considered in more detail later in this report.

2 Calculating the benefits of adopting a smart grid in Christchurch during the recovery

2.1 High-level Approach

The steps taken to calculate the benefits of a smart grid for Christchurch and to subsequently develop an action plan to realise these benefits are shown i[n Figure 1](#page-10-1) below:

Figure 1 work programme to determine benefits of a smart grid for Christchurch

The brief for the project included measuring the benefits of achieving a high level of energy efficiency amongst newly built houses and commercial buildings – in order to form a complete picture of the potential benefits of modern electricity supply and use to consumers (i.e. not because efficient buildings are a prerequisite for a smart grid). This element has therefore been included in the following methodology and analysis.

2.2 Future consumer demands in Christchurch

The concept of smarter delivery and use of electricity in Christchurch following the recovery is the same as it would be in any city. The difference is in the level of possible benefits to consumers. Benefits may come from three sources:

- A smart grid approach in the sense of network investment and operations;
- Coordination amongst stakeholders responsible for delivering the service to consumers; and
- The energy efficiency gains achievable through the rebuild.

The development of a smart grid is a function of:

- Synchronisation between the objectives of the providers of the separate parts of the service to consumers – notably distributors and retailers;
- Legislation and regulation applying to both retailers and distributors;
- State of all of the technologies available to distributors and retailers;
- Data, principle data from meters and sensors; and
- Application development.

2.1 Identifying the benefit landscape

The objectives of a smart grid approach were arrived at by working back from consumer orientated outcomes, through the functionality required to deliver these, and then the enablers for this functionality. This progression is illustrated in [Figure 2](#page-11-0) below. By working back from consumer outcomes it is easy to think about what has to be done and the interdependencies to achieving the

outcomes. It also assists in identifying the diversity of the stakeholders involved in delivering the benefits.

Figure 2 smart grid outcomes and the elements of the transformation

The elements of the smart grid transformation diagram were expanded into 17 distinct features of smart grid deployment made up of a mix of enablers and functional features. (See Appendix 1 for detailed descriptions of features.) The features were aggregated into 6 benefit categories which would lend themselves to forecasting and modelling to determine potential benefits (and later costs).

Smart Grid Features	Smart Grid Benefits Categories
Asset Condition	Electricity network benefits
Asset Capacity	
Security & Resilience	
System Operation	
Supply Quality	
Asset Utilisation	
New Connections	
Distributed Generation	Small scale DG, heat integration and storage
Heat Integration	
Electricity Storage	
AMI	In house information and tariff signals
Smart Retail Tariffs	
Consumer energy data	
Building Energy Management Systems	
Electric Vehicles	Battery powered electric vehicles
Heat pumps	Heat Pumps
Energy Efficient Buildings	Energy Efficient Buildings

Figure 3 smart grid features and benefit categories

2.2 Methodology and assumptions

This section describes, in more detail, the methodology, assumptions and sources we have used to quantify the benefits of smart grid in Christchurch. Key aspects of the approach taken include:

- The method has been created in a way that makes it possible to apply the same approach in other cities;
- The Orion network has been particularly successful in flattening load with a combination of load management, aggressive price signals to its 400 biggest customers and the use of DG;
- Other networks that have not been as diligent and successful as Orion may have more potential for benefits from smarter network operation;
- We have used current prices for carbon so the carbon reduction benefits are small however the CO2 savings are shown in our workings;
- We have used current oil prices with electric vehicles but we note that a step up (or a step down) has a significant bearing on the EV benefits;
- We have not assigned an option value to smart grid. Even where the immediate benefits are minimal any progress that accommodates these initiatives has value for development in the future. EV re-charging architecture and EV charging tariffs are examples;
- We have not included costs. This work quantifies benefits so that the opportunity can be identified. This summary details how we calculated the possible benefits arising from the commitment of consumers and industry players to a smart grid – the coalition of the willing;
- Even though we have not calculated costs we have had to make some assumptions about increases in costs e.g. network reinforcement required to accommodate EVs and heat pumps, and taken the reduction in those incremental costs as benefits;
- To calculate the present benefits we have used 4% real discount rate; and
- We have not determined an explicit value for incorporating microgrids (discrete areas that have the potential to link localised forms of generation and storage and be islanded from the grid) into the smart grid. Following a disaster micro grids offer greater resilience than traditional forms of electricity generation and distribution:[6](#page-13-0)

once infrastructure is broken, the time required to repair it greatly compounds a lack of safety, comfort, and efficiency. Even considering highly evolved processes—utility crews from around the nation converging on affected areas—the days and weeks that follow are very costly to cities and communities.

This exercise looks at smart grid benefits from a consumer's perspective. The benefit of having micro grid will depend on the nature of a disaster and the specific disruption that ensues. Our exercise is confined to the business as usual benefits of adopting a smart grid approach today.

The basis for analysing each benefit category (identified in Figure 4) and arriving at the potential benefits is set out below. What we call primary effects are those effects which are tangible and can be quantified more easily. The secondary effects, though arguably tangible to those experiencing them, are not so readily quantifiable. For that reason we will provide qualitative explanations of those benefits.

2.2.1 Network operation

.

Two influences will drive the investment and style of investment networks make in future

Firstly, demand growth combined with the availability of new technologies will allow the lines company to perform its traditional role more efficiently and cost effectively. Examples of smart grid applications that network companies may adopt include:

- On line condition monitoring lowers costs through better asset management:
- Enhanced/improve fault location lowers the SAIDI cost: and
- Dynamic rating lowers cost by reducing the capital investment required in the network.

Secondly, the change to a smart grid orientation for a network company is a response to a transformation in the way consumers want to use energy and interact with the network physically (i.e. the primary relationship for most consumers is with the retailer). We have taken into account the growing trend in many countries for consumers to become more engaged in the supply of electricity, its use and a greater interaction between consumer use and the constraints of supply. These consumers are responding to technology changes, an awareness of the potential for energy efficiency, greener power sources, and a more local sustainability agenda. Changes include the

⁶ US National Electrical manufacturers Association *The Power of Microgrids* 2014

adoption of distributed generation such as rooftop photovoltaic cells, a much higher penetration of heat pumps for space heating, the possibility of electric vehicle charging, in-home energy systems that allow consumers greater monitoring with possible modulation of load, demand management as a service that earns rewards, and retailers exploring ways that they can engage consumers more closely. These changes, and the changes that network companies will make in response, are what are collectively known as smart grids or smart networks.

To calculate the benefits consumers might expect from a smart grid approach to network operation we have based our understanding of the Orion network on its Asset Management plan[7](#page-14-0) and a smart grid survey that explores intentions and progress on the adoption or need to adopt innovative technologies. (See Appendix). In particular we have:

- Calculated the estimated current disruption to Christchurch consumers based on existing SAIDI and SAIFI figures^{[8](#page-14-1)} and calculated the value of reducing those disruptions using a value of lost load (VoLL) figure of \$8167/MWh;^{[9](#page-14-2)}
- Estimated the possible reduction in those SAIDI and SAIFI figures increasing to 5% ^{[10](#page-14-3)} in 2023 as a benefit from smart grid;
- Applied a reduction in operational expenses^{[11](#page-14-4)} of 5% per annum from smart grid operation based on international research;^{[12](#page-14-5)} and
- Assumed a reduction in reinforcement costs of 25% of current network costs.[13](#page-14-6) Network costs are assumed to increase to allow for reinforcement to accommodate EVs and heat pumps. This cost-saving is of the order confirmed by the detailed GB cost/benefit model.

The comparable network spending paths for Orion with and without smart grid is shown in [Figure 4.](#page-15-0)

.

⁷ Se[e http://www.oriongroup.co.nz/publications-and-disclosures/asset-management-plan.aspx](http://www.oriongroup.co.nz/publications-and-disclosures/asset-management-plan.aspx)

⁸ **System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)** and **System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) are** reliability indicators commonly used by electric power utilities and economic regulators.

⁹ EA *investigation in the VOLL in NZ summary of findings* January 2012 – load weighted average for all consumers

¹⁰ EPRI Estimated costs and benefits of smart grid technical report 2011 uses a figure of 20% savings in the US

¹¹ Orion *AMP* April 2013

¹² Gridwise Alliance *Realising the Value of an Optimized Electrics Grid* February 2012

¹³ Orion *AMP* April 2013

Figure 4 estimating the smart grid network benefits in Christchurch

2.2.2 In-home and in-building information and tariff signals

Many vendors now offer home energy management systems (HEMS). The purpose of this report is not to identify the cheapest or the best but to account for the effect that will take place if they become widespread amongst New Zealand consumers' homes and buildings. There is no mistaking the market is large and growing internationally:^{[14](#page-15-1)}

The current Home Energy Management Systems (HEMS) market is valued at \$1.5 billion in 2013, and includes utility residential demand response (DR), connected home subscriptions, software services, and associated hardware. While the market is still in its infancy, the top two leading home automation companies combined already have over 2 million customers paying monthly subscription fees of between \$20 and \$60, demonstrating the HEMS market's remarkable potential. New companies have entered the arena, hungry for the next set of opportunities revolving around software and bundled service solutions, and the market has shifted in its scope and product offerings.

The design and focus of HEMS have changed since the first wave of HEMS came onto the market in 2008 and 2009.

Based on interviews conducted for the GTM research report Bojanczk defines HEMS as:

Encompassing any product or service that monitors, controls, or analyzes energy in the home. This definition includes residential utility demand response programs, home automation services, personal energy management, data analysis and visualization, auditing, and related security services.

Bojanczk distinguishes between solutions targeted at the utility and non-utility sectors:

.

¹⁴ Kamil Bojanczyk GTM research **Home Energy Management Systems: Vendors, Technologies and Opportunities, 2013-2017** August 29, 2013

Utility solutions include both the utility and the thousands of homes enrolled in the HEMS program. These solutions require a detailed economic analysis custom-tailored to each utility, and typically boil down to a single-load-per-home demand response program or an energy efficiency and customer engagement initiative.

Non-utility solutions focus solely on the home customer and integrate various smart-home services, which fall under the broad definition of HEMS proposed above. The detailed economic analysis used for utility customers is replaced with a smart marketing campaign targeting the needs of homeowners - vendors often enter the home with a security solution and then offer HEMS as an add-on sale.

For this benefit category in the New Zealand context we are capturing activity managed by a household or business. The building occupier may have a building management system, smart meter and DG. Whether it manages the energy use automatically or in a much more manual way there is at the very least more information available on consumption patterns and tariff signals (from both the distributor and the retail energy supplier) that encourage more efficient energy consumption.

With improved information it is expected that consumers will switch consumption away from peak pricing periods and reduce energy consumption overall. Smart meters have been rolled out to over half of the domestic consumers in New Zealand and tariffs are starting to be split into time periods to reflect a day and night differences in costs.

The benefit is up to 30% savings in the average per kWh cost.[15](#page-16-0) We also calculate the value of deferred generation and deferred transmission.[16](#page-16-1) We have assessed an average 0.25kW of load can be shifted over and above current load management patterns for residential.

Figure 5 illustration of the approach taken to estimating the benefits of in house information and tariff signals

[.] ¹⁵ Gridwise Alliance *Realising the Value of an Optimized Electricity Grid* February 2012 and observed wholesale prices in the NZ electricity market

¹⁶ Transpower Annual Reports on historical spending on transmission augmentation and IEA *Energy Technology System Analysis programme Technology brief* April 2010 estimated costs of constructing a combined cycle gas plant

2.2.3 Plug in electric vehicles (PIEVs)

For this category of smart grid benefits we have taken a conservative view of when the growth in uptake of electric vehicles accelerates. In reality we will follow the rest of the world in terms of technology used, especially in storage medium and in terms of the vehicles that sell well.

Electric vehicles form part of smart grid even though they are not established as part of the system by the usual infrastructure providers. The decision to have PIEVs on the road and connected to the network will be made by consumers. Those consumers will expect the benefits that they bring and will also expect the infrastructure to be in place to support them. The infrastructure provided will both support PIEVs and integrate their potential for network management. In that vein Smart Grid News features and articles by Jesse Berst, *[17](#page-17-0)* focus on the delivery of the enablers rather than the issue of when their use will expand:

Eight states representing nearly 1/4 of America's auto market have pledged to adopt measures to make it easier to own an EV, including changing building codes and encouraging more charging stations. For instance, [according to the New York Times](http://www.nytimes.com/2013/10/25/business/energy-environment/coalition-of-states-seeks-to-spur-use-of-electric-cars.html?ref=earth&_r=1&)*, they will simplify rules for installing chargers; develop charging stations that take the same form of payment; and require charging stations at workplaces, multifamily residences and other places.*

The pact includes California, Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island and Vermont. The eight states have set a six-month deadline for drafting an implementation plan.

California already issues rebates for EV buyers and requires automakers to sell zero-emission vehicles in the state. As a result, 29% of the plug-in cars sold in the United States reside in California, according to the Union of Concerned Scientists. Even so, overall numbers aren't big, [according to SF Gate.](http://www.sfgate.com/business/article/8-states-sign-deal-to-boost-electric-car-sales-4923827.php) Americans have bought just 140,000 of them in the past three years. Still, some [forecasters believe the EV market is poised for a takeoff,](http://www.smartgridnews.com/artman/publish/End_Use_Electric_Transportation/Electric-vehicle-update-Is-the-market-on-the-verge-of-taking-off-6100.html) even before the news of the eight-state pledge.

Utilities should be forewarned that the governors of all eight states have pledged to promote time-of-use rates to encourage off-peak charging by EV owners. The governors are also promising to buy EVs for their own fleets and urge cities to do the same.

As customers purchase PIEVs, consumption of petrol decreases and consumption of electricity increases. By making the assumption that PEVs will be charged outside of peak periods, and that the storage can be used to back flow into the local grid, we can obtain several benefits. (For the purpose of this exercise we have not allowed for charging during work hours. The actual pattern of charging that emerges will depend on the response from retailers and distributors when PIEV's become more widespread.)

The take up scenario of PIEVs for Christchurch is a function of the proportion of new vehicles that are electric. We do not assume an acceleration of new vehicle replacement. We have based our electric displacement of new vehicle rate on international research.[18](#page-17-1). We have used Ministry of

¹⁷ Jesse Berst is the founder and Chief Analyst of SGN and Chairman of the Smart Cities Council, an industry coalition.

¹⁸ ICF *Impacts of Electric Vehicles – deliverable 5. International Impact Analysis of market uptake scenarios and policy implications* April 2011

Transport data on the new vehicle replacement rate and Christchurch vehicle ownership. We have used local research for average per day distance travelled in New Zealand.[19](#page-18-0)

We have calculated the difference between the cost to consumers of using petroleum based fuel (adjusted for road tax) and electricity recharging.[20](#page-18-1)

For this exercise we have assigned a zero value for improved resilience on the network as a result of being able to draw saved energy back onto the network from the EV batteries.

Figure 6 increase in the EV fleet used for estimating the benefits from electricity vehicles in Christchurch

2.2.4 Distributed generation

1

Distributed generation is generation connected to the local distribution network or an embedded network (such as a shopping mall) rather than the national grid. It can also be characterised as small scale locally deployed generation. Often it is a generator attached and dedicated to a single household or building and solar powered photovoltaic cells are a good example of DG. The scale of DG and the variability of domestic consumption means that inevitably there will be periods of the day when the consumer is importing from the grid to make up their load and periods when they are exporting back onto the network. This creates a series of issues such as metering arrangements, commercial arrangements when the DG is exporting, safety issues when linesmen are working, two way flows on the network to be managed by the network operator, the capital cost (and economics) of installing the DG and maintenance costs.

In the context of smart grids the cost of DG is falling as the technology improves, while the cost of centrally produced electricity is rising, so DG will be installed by consumers more and more. Distributed generation can, if providing cheaper power at useful times and in the right places, reduce the cost of power, reduce net peak load and improve reliability.

¹⁹ CAENZ *Electric Vehicles Impacts on New Zealand's Electricity System Technical report* December 2010

²⁰ MBIE Based on current average Christchurch delivered electricity charges

In calculating the smart grid benefits accompanying a wider uptake of DG technology we have assumed an aggressive uptake of DG for new buildings based on deployment of combined PV and storage. PV without storage is close to, and in some cases at, parity with bundled retail tariffs^{[21](#page-19-0)} where it offsets current consumption. Payment for net exports (where PV generation exceeds household consumption) tends to be less than the bundled electricity price and tends to be more variable. The cost of battery storage is also declining and we assume that combined PV and battery units will become commercially viable at a domestic level . There is already evidence that where combined PV and batteries are installed and controlled the network company can get value from this approach^{[22](#page-19-1)}.

Our representative system is 3.04kW peak producing 2651 kWh per annum based on NIWA information.^{[23](#page-19-2)} We assume our storage can return a kW of power when called. Our take-up rate is 50% of new buildings (mostly homes) and 2% retrofit.

With the assumed penetration of DG there is a calculable impact on dry-year risk. We have assumed dry year is a 1:10 year event and that the value of any extra generation during that period is \$300 per MWh being the value to consumers of the extra security^{[24](#page-19-3)}. We assume the value in late autumn when the level of security is highest but the level or irradiance is lower than average.

Figure 7 Illustration of a consumer load where PV capacity is matched to peak load. Net import falls to zero as PV output increase and the site becomes an exporter during the peak of the day. The introduction of storage reduces export and extends the effect on net demand of solar PV.

.

²¹ Electricity Authority Retail advisor Group Investigating barriers facing small scale distributed generation discussion paper February 2011

²² See vector offering of solar plus battery back up <http://www.vector.co.nz/node/5839>

²³ NIWA<http://www.niwa.co.nz/our-services/online-services/solarview>

²⁴ Electricity Commission Compulsory Buy Back Consultation Paper Scarcity Pricing and Default Buy Back Technical Group August 2010

2.2.5 Heat pumps

A heat pump can provide more efficient space heating than other sources of heating in the context of both fuel efficiency and cost efficiency to the consumer. Heat pumps provide benefits to consumers in the form of better health outcomes and cheaper energy.

We have included the benefits of heat pumps in the context of smart grids because adopting the technology to heat at the same level of comfort but doing so more efficiently is consistent with the other assessments included here.

We have assumed an enhanced take-up of heat pumps by an enlightened public and applied to both new builds and retrofitting. We have assumed where installed for an existing home it displaces other inefficient forms of heating such as inefficient electricity heating, coal, LPG and open fires. ^{[25](#page-20-0)} A reduction in carbon emissions is material for this effect but at the carbon prices used for this exercise the monetary benefit is limited.

We include a modest wellbeing benefit from reduced hospital emissions.^{[26](#page-20-1)}

Figure 8 estimation of annual savings resulting from uptake of heat pumps to displace other heating methods.

2.2.6 Efficient buildings

.

Efficient buildings consume less energy overall as a result of good design, good insulation and fit out of energy efficient equipment. A smart grid approach to network operation or consumer engagement can go ahead whether the building stock is set up as energy efficient of not. However an aspiration to provide electricity supply at least cost to consumers using smart grid approach

²⁵ Otago University <http://www.physics.otago.ac.nz/eman/hew/>and BRANZ:

http://www.branz.co.nz/cms_show_download.php?id=e3fb50e5420bf7132a8512e6247bc33a8e5dd6d4

²⁶ Ministry of Economic Development *Cost benefit Analysis of the Warm Up New Zealand; Heat smart Programme* June 2012

should include a calculation of the benefits of energy efficiency using the same approach as calculating the benefits from smart grid so a complete picture of the potential benefits of modern supply to consumers can be made.

We have calculated the estimated commercial^{[27](#page-21-1)} and residential^{[28](#page-21-2)} rebuild for Christchurch in square meters, and the estimated annual spend on energy per square meter. We have assumed an estimated 30% savings in energy consumption for new buildings built to higher standards than the minimum building code.[29](#page-21-3)

For the purpose of our benefit calculation we have taken into account the energy savings to consumers and benefits in the form of deferral of transmission benefits.

 - 10,000,000 20,000,000 30,000,000 40,000,000 50,000,000 60,000,000 70,000,000 80,000,000 2014 2015 2016 2017 2020
2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020
2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 **Annual kWh savings from smart buildings** kWh

Figure 9 approach to energy savings possible through adopting an energy efficiency approach with rebuilding.

[Table 1](#page-21-0) shows estimates of the existing homes and exiting commercial stock (in square meters) following the earthquakes. We provide estimates of the numbers of new homes to be built and the commercial offices space to be built by 2023 and 2033. These are the figures that the energy efficiency benefits are based on.

Table 1 Estimates of the size of the domestic and commercial rebuilding task.

.

²⁷ CERA *Christchurch Central City Commercial Property Market Study* May 2012

²⁸ :See:<http://ecan.govt.nz/publications/General/LURP-Context.pdf>

 29 Building Better Returns – a study of the financial performance of green office buildings in Australia September 2011

2.3 Results of the modelling: gross consumer benefits

The gross smart grid benefits are set out by category in [Table 2](#page-22-0) below:

Table 2 smart grid benefits by category.

Figure 10 proportion of smart grid benefits possible in Christchurch

The benefits of achieving a high level of energy efficiency amongst newly built houses and commercial buildings was also estimated. The present value of the gross benefits i.e. benefits from the perspective of consumers, in the Christchurch rebuild are shown i[n Table 3](#page-22-1) below. It is estimated that full deployment of energy efficient buildings would provide benefits of \$130 million in 2023 which is 80% of the smart grid benefits. Benefits of energy efficient buildings in Christchurch would amount to \$326 by 2033.

Table 3 Benefits of energy efficiency initiatives in Christchurch.

3 Developing the roadmap for smart grids

3.2 Findings for Christchurch

Due to the long-life nature of electricity infrastructure, and the opportunity of the Christchurch rebuild, networks and other service providers should be in a capacity building phase now in anticipation of demand that will emerge sometime in the future. During interviews with decision makers in Christchurch the theme that emerged in relation to smart grid was that the more pressing problem in Christchurch is establishing the buildings and connecting them to the network. At the same time Orion has to act in the long-term interest of consumers and proposes that to do that it has to:[30](#page-23-1)

- *Continue to prudently repair and invest in its electricity networks; and*
- *Restore the resiliency and reliability of its network to near pre-earthquake levels by 31 March 2019.*

The project work and interviews identified that the immediate problem for Christchurch is not a smart grid problem where the network is well developed in a steady state and consumers are looking to future trends as defined above. The immediate problem for Christchurch is to get the city rebuilt so the community can resume their lives where they left off before the earthquakes. In that sense the problem is ensuring that the activity that is going to have to take place is consistent with future needs of electricity consumers. That means:

- Ensuring that the buildings are as energy efficient as possible; and
- Focussing the city's decision makers on a sustainable energy future rather than the more narrow smart grid framework.

As such we have identified the following opportunities that should be integrated into Christchurch city, commercial buildings, manufacturing processes, and homes where possible, to maximise energy performance of the city's built environment through the rebuild:

- Building efficient buildings to reduce heating, cooling and lighting energy demand. A significant amount of energy efficiency can be built into the building fabric and energy systems at the design stage. High standards of energy performance are a good investment, and are easily achievable, if energy performance is made a priority during the design. Undertaking detailed energy performance modelling during design is essential;
- Install building and home energy management systems that optimise building and home power use, and can be integrated with external data/information collecting, fault finding, demand response and smart grid systems;
- Installing adequate metering so that a clear demarcation can be made between landlord and tenant energy use. This is consistent with the necessary data gathering for NABERSNZ, and this information will help to accurately share the benefits of smarter grid systems (such as providing demand response);

.

³⁰ Orion Network Proposal for a customised price-quality path 19 February 2013

- Building roofs that are ready for future installations of solar DG installation for a time when owners choose to install them;
- Testing whether it is possible to accelerate the rate at which DG and storage can be economically incorporated into the Christchurch rebuild phase by coordination amongst retailers, distributors and supplier of the various components parts of DG;
- Testing whether it is possible to develop a model for widespread incorporation of energy management systems in buildings being built in Christchurch by coordination amongst retailers, distributors and supplier of the various component parts;
- Provision for getting the best out of any backup generation (either renewables with battery storage or generators) with capacity to provide demand response;
- Christchurch institutions should further explore the potential to develop 'microgrids' that include localised generation and storage. Microgrids offer the capability to 'island' and sustain energy delivery from local generation if the grid is not available, and may help to optimise local renewable power generation;
- Using efficient hydronic heating and cooling systems in new buildings to reduce electricity demand and allow for effective energy storage options - hot and cold water and ice storage;
- Provisioning for EVs by installing chargers; developing charging stations and provisioning for charging stations at workplaces and residences. During the rebuild it would be appropriate to simply provide ducting and space for charging stations to be installed in the future; and
- Develop centralised fault-finding and energy performance optimisation systems that utilise common data protocols and analyse energy performance data from a large number of building energy management systems;

To this end we advocate that Christchurch city leaders prioritise achieving a sustainable energy future for Christchurch. Increased efforts to encourage uptake of the above energy performance priorities for the rebuild will help to achieve this goal, and that of a smarter grid in the future.

We would suggest convening a forum for the exchange of ideas and coordination of a sustainable energy strategy for Christchurch to help business rebuilding the city to take the opportunity to build for better energy performance and take changing energy demands into account

Intuitively a city in the process of a rebuilding would be a perfect opportunity to develop a smart grid. It seems that exclusive of focusing on energy efficiency a smart grid is much better suited to a steady state community. To the extent that smart grid accommodates greater small scale distributed generation and storage its relevance is even greater for cities prone to a natural disaster. The benefits in that case would include the ability for a city to be more resilient if a disaster struck that caused major destruction to the network.

3.3 Looking beyond Christchurch: a national perspective

The project work on smart grid opportunities in Christchurch raised the issue of whether the level of benefits seen for Christchurch is matched on other networks. The method taken can be readily applied to other networks or at a national level. This project considers that the national gross benefits may be of the order of 8 times the demonstrated Christchurch benefits. Interviews with distributors completed as part of the project work indicate that there is a great deal of hesitancy in

pursuing a smart grid approach in all parts of the economy. It leads to the question of whether national group including participation from distributors, retailers, the Electricity Authority, EECA, the Commerce Commission and MBIE could usefully investigate the national benefits of smart grid and barriers to achieving these. Such a group could consider whether there are implications from the shift of conventional supply to the adoption of new technology and a more consumer centric world would have implications for business models and regulation. This work would be able to identify whether there is a coordinated response required in order to deliver benefits to consumers.

We note that demand patterns are already changing from the basis of current investment approach. National domestic electricity consumption has started to level off. Since 2010 total domestic demand has turned down. This is reflected in both kWh per household (ICP) and kWh per person. Delivered electricity prices increased 65% from 17 c/kWh to 28 c/kWh between 2000 and 2010. Between 2010 and 2012 the population increased 1.3% and total domestic demand fell 2.8%

Figure 12 New Zealand national demand and population

The trend in consumption will be a response to a number of factors. Obviously a noticeable increase in household bills will illicit some response. During the period the merit of energy efficiency measures such as hot water cylinder wraps and energy efficiency light bulbs have been promoted. New builds during the period will have been more efficient as well. The relevance for smart grid is that conventional investments may become stranded as small scale distributed generation becomes more economic and energy efficiency measures continue to gather momentum. This adds to the imperative to explore non-conventional approaches to meeting electricity demand.

The delivery and coordination of smart grid outcomes is a complex task for a number of reasons, including the following:

- The transformation from traditional networks with one way electricity flows and low levels of communication on the status of the network to smart grids is occurring within existing physical electricity networks and existing commercial arrangements (it is not a green-fields proposition). All of these components were designed a number of years ago with a view to optimising the electricity system, and consumer demands of that system, as they were. Smart grids can be expected to challenge some of these physical and commercial designs and it is inevitable that there is some resistance to change. There is likely to be a disjuncture between businesses optimising their financial positions and the long-term interests of the economy and consumers:
- Some significant smart grid investments, particularly in the network operations area, are required in advance of the likely flow of benefits, and those best placed to invest may struggle to capture sufficient financial benefits to warrant the investment. This sequencing of costs and benefits, and the dispersion of likely benefits through the value chain, may pose greater challenges to the New Zealand electricity sector than in some other APEC jurisdictions, as it is disaggregated across generation, transmission, distribution, retail, and other service providers (e.g. metering);
- Economic regulatory functions and rules are pervasive in the electricity sector and presuppose the existing functions in the value chain will remain static, as reflected in the regulatory separation of certain functions and price control mechanisms founded on regulators forecasting the optimal path for network businesses. These regulatory rules place tight limits on the scope for and incentives to innovate, and particularly so where investment, product development and value capture need to straddle a number of the fragmented steps in the value chain;
- Sectors not typically thought of as part of the electricity sector have a large part to play in the design and successful deployment and use of smart grids. Two examples are the design, construction and management of buildings, and the personal transport sector (in the form of electric vehicles). Interdependencies are likely to emerge with other sectors. There is also a need to coordinate the introduction of enablers, as well as physical and systems interfaces and standards to get smart grid investments up; and
- The stakeholder groups that would deliver on the identified benefit categories differ. This is illustrated below. The coalition of the willing includes both stakeholders within a benefit category and well as cooperation between stakeholder groups in separate categories.

In [Figure 13](#page-27-0) we summarise the different groupings of stakeholders that would determine investments and outcomes in different groups of features.

Figure 13 smart grid features and different groupings of interested stakeholders.

3.3.1 Weak coordination and bundled pricing may be a potential barrier to achieving benefits of smart grid in New Zealand

At the heart of achieving smart grid benefits lies the degree to which load can be shifted especially by flattening intraday load. Managing load shape is achieved through demand response and this can be broken down into two distinct types of activity:

- Remote load control by an agent who has secured the contractual rights to control load. This form of demand response is reliable in the sense that the central agent has control over when load is switched on and off; and
- Demand response in response to price signals. Price signals could be tariffs set at different levels for different time periods or variable prices that reflect the wholesale market price

movements. This form of demand response is less reliable in the sense that it is controlled by the consumer who has the choice to pay the higher price and continue consuming if it doesn't suit them to respond.

As we have observed elsewhere in this report the electricity industry still runs on historical arrangements and some of these will have to change to accommodate future demand by consumers and to deliver the benefits of smart grid. Nowhere is this more apparent than with the question of how to determine who gets to remotely control a consumer's load.

Arrangements for retail billing in New Zealand are similar to most jurisdictions in that consumers receive a single invoice that bundles the cost of energy, transmission, distribution, metering, other costs to serve such as call centres and any commercial margins that suppliers expect. In New Zealand the retailers may also be the supplier of the energy (New Zealand is 85% vertically integrated in this sense) while the distributor and grid owner are two separate entities. In many case the provider of the meters is an independent agent as well. There is also a possibility that an aggregator seeks to manage some of the component services such as load control.

The objectives of the generator/retailers, distributor, grid company and aggregator aren't necessarily aligned and the means for all of those parties to signal to consumers the value of controlling load in a smart grid is limited.

The distributor and grid company both have their returns overseen by the economic regulator but the regime in place has weak incentives, if any, to adopt new and potentially risky technology. Both distributor and the grid company are motivated to ensure that adequate reliable capacity is available and have an incentive to reliably flatten load, if possible, thereby reducing or deferring the need for new capacity. The distributors' revenue is based on total kWh so while they are motivated to flatten load they are not so motivated to take any action that would reduce the overall throughput on the network. Both the distributor and grid company are heavily incentivised to sustain security of supply and minimise any disruptions to the system.

Retailers' revenue is also a function of the throughput on the network but the incentives to flatten load is weaker than it is for distributor. For the retailer the issue is whether the recovered revenue is at an adequate margin over the cost of purchases from the pool. Anything that alters the half hour by half hour shape of consumer load is only beneficial compared with the half hourly cost in the wholesale market.

The introduction of smart meters provides an opportunity for distributors and retailers to set tariffs that reflect the true cost of supply and incentivise consumers to change their load shape. However the retailers have the final say in what signals are passed through to consumers. There is also a potential mismatch in the functionality of the smart meter depending on whether they have been commissioned by the retailer with their purpose in mind or by the distributor.

The use of homes energy management systems (HEMS) has the potential to provide more scope for dynamic tariff offerings and give consumers more control over their total cost of consumption. Again, however, there may be a difference between the HEMS offered by a retailer with their objectives versus a distributor.

The clearest point where the different types of organisations (e.g. retailers, distributors or aggregators) and different organisations amongst each type would compete for consumers is the right to remotely control some part of a domestic household's load. Current arrangements are based on load control physically switched centrally by the distributor. As a rule the use of load control follows a hierarchy of purposes dictated by the distributor. In some networks arrangements are in place that allow the retailer to dictate load control if the distributor is not currently using it. Currently the distributors will offer a lines tariff that differentiates between access to load control or not and this is reflected in the charge the retail offers. This set of arrangements may be about to change as smart grid technology is adopted more and more.

In 2013 New Zealand retailers and distributors worked on a set of principles and protocols for the use of load control so they could enter into bilateral arrangements and essentially compete with the other for access to discretionary load control.^{[31](#page-29-1)} The New Zealand Electricity Networks Association (ENA) reports there is widespread agreement amongst retailers and distributors over the principles. The two leading principles are:

- 1. Customers own the rights to control their load.
- 2. Development and implementation of load management to support the long term interests of customers.

The hierarchy for deployment of load control incorporated into the principles at this stage of the market's development is summarised in [Figure 14](#page-29-0) below.

Source: ENA 2013

The arrival of smart meters and the introduction of HEMS and the emergence of other technologies able to control hot water as well as a wide variety of other load control mean competition for the right to control consumers' load may heat up. In time, if all of the purposes of load control set out in

.

³¹ ENA Newsletter October 2013

the diagram above are priced, consumers will be able to make informed choices about how they would have their load control put to use and who would have access to it.

We consider that this context indicates that the long-term interests of consumers may not be best served by relying solely on existing commercial and regulatory frameworks to ensure timely and efficient deployment of smart grids. We have therefore identified commercial and regulatory frameworks in the list of enablers of smart grids, along with other items. This change and other changes required to facilitate the accelerated delivery of the benefits will need leadership, and will need the support of a coalition of the willing at a national level.

3.4 A national forum

A national forum of regulators and the various participants in the delivery of electricity services would be able to examine existing commercial and regulatory frameworks and demine whether they are likely to lead to greater long term benefits to consumers given the changes discussed in this paper.

A national New Zealand Smart Grid Implementation Group (NZSGIG) would be similar to the Great Britain Smart Grid forum that has proven to be effective in delivering benefits to UK consumers. In April 2010 the Imperial College of London and the UK ENA completed a benefits-only assessment similar to the approach this project has taken to Christchurch. In the UK case this approach established that it was worth proceeding with a work program to prove up the benefits, establish the costs and incorporate the thinking into regulatory settings. Having established that there were social, environmental and economic benefits the GB Forum confirmed a pathway to delivering those benefits. Subsequent work in the UK has become more and more detailed and has led to innovation becoming a core element of network company business plans now. We have noted that the cost/benefit analysis in GB required development of new analytical modelling tools and the collection of data for 'future solutions' that is problematic to derive. A benefits-only assessment in GB gave the Smart Grid forum the confidence to proceed and the cost/benefit modelling was funded and overseen by the network companies over a period of about 18months. It is now at Version 4.0.0 and is under an industry wide governance and change control process.

The steps from here for a national smart grid roadmap are shown in [Figure 15](#page-30-0) below:

Figure 15 roadmap to delivering smart grid benefits at a national level

In the New Zealand context the further work would comprise:

- Draw up a terms of reference for a Smart Grid Implementation Group (SGIG) to provide a focal point for carrying out the steps that follow this project;
- Form a view of possible future consumer requirements to inform the best opportunities for smart grid;
- Rerun the model developed for Christchurch on a national basis and identify a benefits only view of smart grid. This output would guide the subsequent work a national forum would use to ensure that eventually the consumer benefits are delivered;
- Focus on costs of delivering a smart grid. The Imperial College/ENA Benefits only assessment in the UK resulted in a number of work streams focused on understanding the costs and delivering those benefits;
- Work on the cost side will be most beneficial if it is done in conjunction with network companies and retailers who are assessing technology choices, working on pilots and making business decisions in the present. They are best placed to provide authentic indications of cost;
- Refine the social, environmental and economic benefits achievable and worth achieving based on the work on costs. Include the option value of preparing for smart grid in anticipation of consumer demands rather than waiting until demand for innovation on the grid has emerged;
- Work with stakeholders to determine ways that costs can be minimised through cooperation amongst stakeholders An example might be that through better coordination amongst retailers, distributors and suppliers it may be possible to accelerate the rate at which DG and storage can be economically developed;
- Apply the same benefits analysis technique as carried out for Christchurch to at least one other city in New Zealand. This will show the difference in benefits for a city with another electricity distribution company and without a significant rebuild underway; and
- Clarify the barriers that remain once the possibilities that can be achieved through a coalition of the willing have been determined. Develop a strategy to reduce barriers. Developing the roadmap and determining the benefits.

3.4.1 Parallel thinking and approach in Great Britain

Conducting a national benefits only assessment as discussed above is the identical step taken in the UK that led to the establishment of the Great Britain Smart Grid forum in 2011. This is illustrated in the GB forum time line shown below i[n Figure 16](#page-32-0) below:

Figure 16

The GB smart grid forum established 7 work streams as shown in [Figure 17](#page-32-1) below **Figure 17 GB smart grid work streams and the transform model**

Following the development of comprehensive cost benefit work the transform model was developed.[32](#page-33-0) This model is based on real data from distribution networks local authorities, central government and a range of other sources. It can assess and optimise investment over a range of conventional and 'smart" strategies and involving a wide range of solutions. Two different models have been developed, to reflect the different levels of granularity between GB and a DNO licence. The datasets for the licence model are tuned by the local DNO.

The UK Institute of Engineering and Technology (IET) have recently released a position paper commenting on the complexities facing networks in the future. They argue that engineering, commercial and regulatory interests should work together in the best interests of consumers. They appear to be as worried about failure as they are about delivering consumer benefits. Their problem definition and recommendations are:[33](#page-33-1)

The impact of changes such as solar photovoltaic (PV) farms and large scale adoption of domestic solar PV energy, electric hybrid vehicles, replacement or supplementing of gas fired heating by electric heat pumps, community energy schemes, and the introduction of large scale wind generation have potentially profound impacts on networks and the electricity system as a whole

At the distribution level managing the impacts of reverse power flows, fault level, and voltage rise will become increasingly challenging

The IET's key recommendations

.

- *1. The Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) should work with industry to establish a System architect role to achieve a whole systems approach*
- *2. Government/Industry stakeholder groups should explore ad address effective interactions between engineering market and regulatory aspects to determine change needed*
- *3. DECC/Ofgem should develop the regulatory arrangements that will enable demand response and distributed storage to participate in maximising whole system synergies and the mitigation of risks*
- *4. Network companies should together determine how to address the impact of a data rich environment, including the mechanisms for improved internal and external data exchange*
- *5. Network companies' procurement arrangement should facilitate greater access for specialist providers to bring benefits in smart grids, demand management and new customer services*
- *6. Network companies, the IET, and other interested parties should work out how to address the requirements for increasing engineering commercial and business complexity, including the means to access skills and research and test facilities and the sharing of knowledge*

³² The Transform Model® is available from EA Technology on a commercial basis; all funding Network Operators, DECC and Ofgem have a licence to use the software for their own analysis

³³ The Institute of Engineering and Technology (IET) Electricity networks Handling a shock to the system IET position statement on the whole system challenges facing Britain's electricity network launched 5 December 2013

4 A smart grid forum in New Zealand

In parallel with this project the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment and the New Zealand Electricity Networks Association were looking specifically at the role of national coordination on smart grid. Their thinking was driven by the same themes contained in this report and there was cross dialogue between the project group for this work and those organisations. In February 2014 the New Zealand Minister of Energy and Resources announced the establishment of a national Smart Grid forum[34](#page-34-1).

In his announcement the Minister observed;

- *Emerging technologies will make different demands on the electricity systems of the future*
- *Smarter electricity networks will be needed, capable of transferring energy between a more diverse range of generators and consumers, while balancing demand and supply*
- *We need to ensure New Zealand is well placed to capture the benefits of solar energy, electric vehicles, and advanced meters, as well as developments in distribution automation, distributed storage, and demand response*
- *The establishment of the forum reflects the Government's commitment to the responsible and savvy use of resources and technology to secure New Zealand's energy future, and lift our standard of living*

The SGF's objective is:

to advance the development of smart electricity networks in New Zealand through information sharing and dialogue, supported by analysis and by focussed work-streams where these are considered to be appropriate.

To achieve its purpose the SGF will:

- *Promote its objectives to parties involved in smart electricity network development to encourage the active participation of the diverse elements of the power demand and supply chain in Forum activities*
- *Promote and facilitate a collective understanding of current smart electricity network developments*
- *Collaborate and seek synergies with associated initiatives in areas such as electricity load control, innovation, data sharing, system security and data security, and consumer empowerment*
- *Identify barriers to investment and the means to address those barriers*
- *Develop and communicate a collective understanding of relevant developments in other jurisdictions.*

We hope that this work and the approach taken to the benefits modelling will be utilised by the smart Grid Forum.

[.] 34 **http://www.med.govt.nz/sectors--**‐**[industries/energy/electricity/new--](http://www.med.govt.nz/sectors--%E2%80%90industries/energy/electricity/new--%E2%80%90zealand--%E2%80%90smart--%E2%80%90grid--%E2%80%90forum)**‐**zealand--**‐**smart--**‐**grid--**‐**forum**

Appendix 1: Smart grid features

In this section we describe each of the 16 distinctly smart grid features, the opportunities, enablers and dependencies amongst the features.

Appendix 2: The Orion network

Sapere: Christchurch Smart Grids Road Map project

Prepared: March 2013

Question: To what extent does Orion deploy, or is thinking about deploying, the following technologies/solutions?

By price + information signals; approx 120-150 hours per year, some 20-30MW of generation; start signalled at same time as above but a post peak separate signal is used to encourage some generators to run on longer to smooth load restoration

*

STATCOM

side aggregators.

APEC Project S EWG 08 12

Urban Development Smart Grid Roadmap – Christchurch Recovery Project

Produced by

Sapere Research Group Level 9, 1 Willeston St PO Box 587 Wellington 6140 Ph: +64 4 915 7590 Fax: +64 4 915 7596 Email: tstevenson@srgexpert.com Website[: www.srgexpert.com](http://www.srgexpert.com/)

Authors:

Toby Stevenson John Hancock Ashley Milkop Simon Orme John Scott Stuart Shepherd James Swansson

For

Asia-Pacific Economic Secretariat 35 Heng Mui Keng Terrace Singapore 119616 Tel: (65) 68919 600 Fax: (65) 68919 690 Email: info@apec.org Website[: www.apec.org](http://www.apec.org/)

© 2014 APEC Secretariat

APEC#214-RE-01.3