

Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation

# APEC WORKSHOPS ON BUILDING BIOSECURITY PLANNING AND SURVEILLANCE CAPACITY FOR APEC MEMBER ECONOMIES

# August 15-20, 2005 The Legend Hotel, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

# LIST OF PAPERS AND PRESENTATIONS

A. BIOSECURITY PLANNING WORKSHOP

APEC Agricultural and Technical Cooperation Working Group

2005

Reproduced electronically in April 2006

© 2005 APEC Secretariat

Produced for APEC Secretariat 35 Heng Mui Keng Terrace Singapore 119616 Tel: (65) 67756012 Fax: (65) 67756013 Email: info@apec.org Website: www.apec.org

APEC#205-AT-04.1

# **BIOSECURITY WORKSHOP - LIST OF PRESENTATIONS**

| Session I                       |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 1                               | <sup>I.</sup> WTO, Biosecurity and Surveillance<br>Dr. Graeme Evans  |
| 2                               | Biosecurity Planning and Surveillance Capacity for<br>2. APEC Economies<br>Dr. Lum Keng Yeang  |
| 3                               | The Biosecurity Capacity Need Assessment Tool<br><i>Dr. Mike Robson</i>  |
| Session II                      |  |
| 1                               | I. Introduction - Elements of Biosecurity<br>Dr. Loke Wai Hong   |
| 2                               | 2. Group Discussion 1  |
| Session III                     |  |
| 1                               | Member Economy Presentations on 'National<br>Biosecurity Planning'<br>- Japan<br>- China<br>- Singapore<br>- Thailand  |
| Session IV                      |  |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | <ol> <li>Forestry Biosecurity Planning<br/>Dr. Lee Su See</li> <li>Threat Identification and Pathway Analysis<br/>Elizabeth Asteraki</li> <li>Group Discussion II</li> <li>Pathway Analysis, Risk Mitigation and Research<br/>Planning<br/>Dr. Graeme Evans</li> <li>Group Discussion III</li> <li>Biosecurity preparedness: Collections, Host-pest<br/>List,<br/>Data-sheets and Diagnostic Tools<br/>Dr.Lum Keng Yeang</li> <li>Group Discussion IV</li> </ol> |
| Session V                       |  |
| 1                               | I. The International Portal for Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health<br>Dr. Mike Robson  |

## Session VI

- 1. Member Economy Presentations on 'Managing Biosecurity Threats'
  - Brunei
  - Indonesia
  - Vietnam 1
  - Vietnam 2

Workshop Summary and Recommendations

#### The World Trade Organization, Biosecurity and Surveillance for Plant Pests

Graeme Evans<sup>1</sup>

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The term 'biosecurity' was brought into prominence with the introduction in New Zealand of the *Biosecurity Act* (1993) that sought to .... restate and reform the law relating to the exclusion or eradication and effective management of pests and unwanted organisms. The term 'biosecurity' is not defined in the legislation, but a definition has been proposed by Penman<sup>2</sup> as ....effective management of risks by a system of coordinated pre-border, border management and sector responses aimed at preventing the establishment and spread of organisms that may have adverse effects on the economy, environment and people's health.

While scientists and quarantine authorities have long recognised the risks of moving animals and plants into new environments, the last decade or so has witnessed heightened interest in the risks and the impacts that these may have on biodiversity and agriculture. This had led to the introduction of international instruments such as the Convention on Biodiversity and the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and programs such as the Global Invasive Species Initiative. However, more than any thing else, it was the establishment of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1995 that is driving international interest in biosecurity in order to provide competitive advantage to those countries trading in agricultural commodities. This paper examines the role of the WTO in revitalising interest in threats posed by exotic pests and diseases, the need for trading countries to understand the health (pest) status of their agricultural industries and natural ecosystems and the need for all countries to have the capacity to undertake surveillance for plant pests.

#### THE WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Establishment of the WTO in 1995 was heralded as leading to a new era of trade liberalisation, including trade in agricultural commodities. The reason for this optimism was that the WTO is a rules-based organisation with the rules governing trade in agricultural commodities set out in the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures<sup>3</sup> (the SPS Agreement). The SPS Agreement removes the rights of countries to exclude agricultural imports except on health and safety grounds. Countries seeking to use this provision of the Agreement are required to justify their actions on scientific grounds.

While international trade in agricultural commodities has increased since the WTO was established, it appears that developing countries have not benefited to the same

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Formerly Principal research Scientist, Office of the Chief Plant Protection Officer, Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Penman, D.R. (1998) Managing a Leaky Border: Towards a Biosecurity Strategy. Ministry of Research, Science, and Technology, Wellington New Zealand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SPS Measures are domestic regulations covering such matters as pesticide residues, heavy metals and microbial contaminants in food, and pests, weeds and pathogens that may be transported in agricultural commodities.

extent as developed countries<sup>4</sup>. The situation is attributed to the inability of the developing countries to meet the SPS requirements of the developed, high value markets, particularly in the west, that often remain closed on quarantine grounds.

#### **Obligations on Exporters to Provide Data on Pest Status**

The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) and the WTO SPS Agreement impose obligations for a prospective exporting country to provide the prospective importing country with a list of pests likely to be associated with the commodity to be exported. These include:

- IPPC obligations to provide official technical and biological information necessary for pest risk analysis in recognition of the essential nature of specific information on the pest status of a product that is held by exporting Members,<sup>5 6</sup>
- Article 6.3 of the SPS Agreement which states that .... exporting Members claiming that areas within their territories are pest- or disease-free areas or areas of low pest prevalence shall provide the necessary evidence thereof in order to objectively demonstrate to the importing Member that such areas are, and are likely to remain, pest- or disease-free areas or areas of low pest prevalence, respectively. For this purpose, reasonable access shall be given, upon request, to the importing Member for inspection, testing and other relevant procedures.
- Annex B, paragraph 3(b) of the SPS Agreement which states that....each Member shall ensure that one enquiry point exists which is responsible for the provision of answers to all reasonable questions from interested Members as well as for the provision of relevant documents regarding: (b) any control and inspection procedures, production and quarantine treatment, pesticide tolerance and food additive approval procedures, which are operated within its territory.

In order to meet these obligations, and to be able to conduct pest risk analysis and establish phytosanitary regulations to prevent the entry, establishment or spread of a pest, countries need to maintain reliable pest records.

According to ISPM 8<sup>7</sup>, ....the provision of reliable pest records and the determination of pest status are vital components of a number of activities covered under the IPPC and by the principles noted in the ISPM No. 1: Principles of plant quarantine as related to international trade, and the international standards for phytosanitary measures that have been developed from them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See M. Ataman Aksoy, The Evolution of Agricultural Trade Flows. *In* M. Ataman and John C. Beghin, Global Agricultural Trade and Developing Countries. World Bank 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> International Plant Protection Convention, 1997: Article VII: International cooperation: 1. "The contracting parties shall cooperate with one another to the fullest practicable extent in achieving the aims of this Convention, and shall in particular:...c) cooperate, to the extent practicable, in providing technical and biological information necessary for pest risk analysis."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ISPM 11, Pest Risk Analysis for Quarantine Pests, 1.2 Information: "The provision of official information regarding pest status is an obligation under the IPPC (Art. VIII.1c) facilitated by official contact points (Art. VIII.2)."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ISPM 8, Determination of Pest Status of an Area, IPPC, FAO, Nov 1998.

#### ISPM 8 states that:

All countries may use pest status information for:

- PRA purposes;
- planning national, regional or international pest management programs;
- establishing national pest lists; and
- *establishing and maintaining pest free areas.*

In order for countries to benefit from the spirit of trade liberalisation embodied in the agreement establishing the WTO, they must be able to comply with obligations imposed by the IPPC and the WTO under the SPS Agreement.

The problem for many developing and some other countries is that they cannot provide an adequate description of the health status of their agricultural industries, drawing on specimen-based collections ('biological collections') of plant pests.

## PURPOSE AND FUNCTION OF BIOLOGICAL COLLECTIONS

Biological collections document the biodiversity of a country and hold important reference material for taxonomists. Pest collections and associated data also have other uses, such as:

- Providing information on the health (pest) status of a country's agricultural crop and forest industries;
- Supporting the development of pest management strategies (including integrated pest management) at the regional and farm level;
- Providing the basis for domestic and international quarantine policies and actions;
- Providing a reference point for determining whether 'new' pest records are endemic species or whether these represent new pest incursions; and
- Underpinning trade by providing data and reference specimens for quarantine agencies needing to conduct pest risk analysis in support of negotiations to access foreign markets.

Well- populated pest collections will contain multiple entries of the same pest from different hosts and from across different production and geographic areas. The data contained in these collections will allow plant health authorities to map the distribution of pests across a country and provide information on seasonal occurrence of pests and prevalence of pests in particular areas and on particular crops. Such information is of great value when countries are negotiating access to markets for agricultural commodities.

In the context of international trade, pest **records** based on voucher specimens that are held in properly curated collections provide the most reliable evidence of the plant health status of the country. This is because specimens can be re-examined to confirm or refute the identity of the specimen or to obtain more precise data on the circumstances under which the specimens were collected and on their distribution. On the other hand, published **reports** of plant pests that are not supported by voucher specimens cannot be validated and are a potential impediment to agricultural trade. Erroneous reports can be extremely difficult, time consuming and expensive to disprove to the satisfaction of a prospective trading partner. Specimen-based records and other material contained in biological collections provide a country with a powerful tool to assist bids for market access and to justify measures to exclude potentially harmful exotic pests.

A country that cannot provide an adequate description of the health (pest) status of its agricultural industries is at a disadvantage when negotiating access to foreign markets. Prospective importers will assess risk based on their knowledge of the pests in the country seeking to export, the likelihood of introducing exotic pests of concern with the imported commodity and the availability of phytosanitary measures to reduce risk to an acceptable level. Extensive specimen-based pest records held in biological collections are the key for developing countries to negotiate with developed countries on a level playing field.

#### **Populating Biological Collections**

Many of the better populated collections of arthropod pests and plant diseases around the world are the products of work dating back a century or more. The early curators of these collections sourced specimens from practicing plant health scientists, farmers and from their own collecting trips. While specimens submitted by plant health scientists and farmers are still valuable, the collection of specimens has become more purposeful than in the past, driven by the need to expand scientific knowledge about biodiversity, concern about the need to recognise alien pests in new environments and a desire to expand trade in agricultural commodities.

Countries wanting to expand exports of agricultural commodities under the rules of the WTO do not have the luxury of building their pest collections over an extended period of time. Nor do they have to. The development of specimen-based pest lists can be accelerated through structured surveillance programs, focusing on the pests that might be carried on the commodity to be exported. Often the trading partner will specify the extent of the surveillance activities to be undertaken, but not always.

#### CONCLUSION

Governments everywhere are under pressure from their constituents to use the provision of the SPS Agreement to maximum competitive advantage – that is to prise open markets previously closed on questionable quarantine grounds and to exclude commodities that pose a risk to domestic markets, including the risk of introducing exotic pests. For developing country members of the WTO, building robust, specimen-based pest lists offers the best approach to trading on a level playing field with the developed country members. While the needs of the developing member countries will vary, it is difficult to envisage a more useful approach than to provide assistance that builds competency in five key areas, as follow:

- Surveillance for plant pests;
- Diagnostic capacity;
- Specimen preservation;
- Collection Management; and
- Data management.

















Expertise to determine:

- pest category (10/0)
- potential for entry, establishment & spread (9/1)
- pest economic impact (10/0)
- multiple pathways (9/1)

NPPO has:

- expertise to assess probability of spread (6/4)
- need for expertise in biostatistics (4)
- economic impact assessment expertise (3/6)
- economic data on crop production, pest control costs (7/3)
- expertise to evaluate risk management measures (6/4)

CAB International







*Biosecurity* Capacity Needs Assessment Tool

> APEC, Kuala Lumpur Mike Robson August 2005



































# APEC-TILF Workshop Introduction

- About APEC ATCWG
- The Project
  - Background information
  - Objectives of the Project
  - Implementation of the Project
  - Expected outputs
  - Benefits of the Project to APEC

(III) CAB International



# **ATCWG Priority Areas**

- Conservation and Utilisation of Plant & Animal Genetic Resources
- Research, Development and Extension of Agricultural Biotechnology
- Production, Processing, Marketing, Distribution and Consumption of Agricultural Products
- Plant & Animal Quarantine and Pest Management
- Cooperative Development of an Agricultural Finance
   System
- Agricultural Technology Transfer and Training
- Sustainable Agriculture and Related Environmental Issues

(iii) CAB International



# **Objectives of Project**

- Create awareness and capacity to design surveillance programs for building information on plant health status and early detection of serious pests and diseases
- Build APEC understanding of and share information on need to engage in biosecurity planning to contain transboundary movement of pests and to mitigate damage & losses caused by such invasives
- Build capacity to respond to exotic pests to reduce
   economic impacts
- Strengthen capacity to implement SPS measures in compliance to requirements of WTO-SPS Agreement

(III) CAB International







#### **GROUP 1**

#### **Elements of Biosecurity**

#### A. What is Biosecurity?

A concept, process or method to manage all biological risk which could affect environment, food and agriculture including human health.

#### B. Objectives of Biosecurity System/purpose

- a. To prevent the introduction, establishment, spread of exotic pests
- b. To protect economy, environment, and human health
- c. To have standard method to control/manage of exotic pest/invasive alien species (IAS)
- d. To facilitate trade
- e. To ensure existing ecology of animal and plant maintained
- f. To prevent the spread of pests already existing in the country

#### C. Elements of biosecurity

Three elements to be considered:

- a. Pre-border
- b. Border
- c. Post-border

#### **D.** Pre-border

- a. Identify biosecurity threats by exotic pests -commodity
   -pest records
   -industry experience
- b. complete information on the pest.
- c. manage risk off-shore through -accreditation -pre-clearance
  - -standard for the products
- d. Awareness/training/capacity building -funding

#### E. Border

- a. Legal framework
- b. Inspection standards for -implementation of quarantine measures

-risk mitigation measures

c. Surveillance/trapping system

d. Upgrading capacity for

-reporting (IT)

-appropriate infrastructures

e. Funding

E. Post-border

- a. Legal framework
- b. Early/timely detection

   surveillance of quarantine pests
   /target pests
- c. Emergency response plan
- d. Funding, manpower, logistic, etc.
- e. System review

#### **GROUP 11**

Definition of Biosecurity – accepted /agreed although this is not the only definition but more of this ;encompassing

**Elements of Biosecurity** 

**Pre-border Preparedness** 

- 1. Knowledge of industry status
- 2. identifying exotic pest threats
- 3. Datasheets for targeted psts
- 4. managing quarantine risks offshore
- 5. Identifying and undertaking offshore R & D where pests are endemic
- 6. surveillance
- 7. 7. diagnostic capacity
- 8. identified pathway instead of agreed host list
- 9. awareness and training/capacity building
- 10. agreed position on funding for eradication
- 11. analysis of legislative framework

**Emergency Response Plan instead of Pre-border Response Planning** All are equally important but the ranking or sequence are:

- 1. procedures for initial response alert
- 2. communication strategy
- 3. management and coordination including roles and responsibilities
- 4. control strategies
- 5. procedures for maintaining market access
- 6. criteria for recommending eradication
- 7. cost-benefit analysis
- 8. protocols for surveying samplinf trace-backs

#### Border

- Implementing effective quarantine for people, animals, plants and goods
- establishing trapping and surveillance networks for pests that may bypass checkpoints

Post -border

- Minimizing risk of regional and property entry and establishment
- Preparing for timely detection, minimised spread and rapid response to emergency pests

Discussion Areas:

How should pre-border, border and post-border components b balanced? -pre-boder is the most important

## Detection, Monitoring and Management of Plant Pests in Japan

# Takayasu Watanabe Plant Quarantine Office, Plant Protection Division, MAFF, JAPAN

# 1. Background

The Plant Protection Service of Japan implements, under the Plant Protection Law(hereinafter referred to as "Law"), import quarantine to protect Japanese agriculture from invasion of quarantine pest from abroad, domestic quarantine to prevent the propagation and spread of local pests which seriously threatens agricultural crops, and export quarantine to meet the requirements from importing countries.

#### 2. Monitoring survey

There are two types of monitoring survey. One is for invasive pests, and the other is for domestic important pests.

## 3. Monitoring survey for invasive pests

The Plant Protection Division of MAFF plays the most important role as headquarters of all agencies and organizations involved in these monitoring activities. There are the Plant Protection Stations and the Plant Protection Centers for this purpose; the former is under national government and the latter is under prefectural governments.

#### 4. Plant Protection Station responsibilities

The Plant Protection Station has a responsibility for the international plant quarantines and domestic plant quarantine. Domestic plant quarantine includes monitoring survey for invasive pests, emergency control, and eradication programs on designated pests.

#### 5. The target species

Japan has decided important pests as target species for the monitoring survey (Med fly, Oriental fruit fly, Melon fly, *Anastrepha* spp., *Rhagloletis* spp., Codling moth, Sweet potato weevil, *Erwinia amylovora*, and *Acidovorax avenae* subsp.*citrulli*, etc.).

#### 6. The monitoring network at point of entry in Japan

The monitoring survey is carried out by national government at seaports and airports throughout Japan. There are 75 places where the monitoring surveys are carried out.

#### 7. Med fly traps at production area

At production and growing sites, the surveys are carried out by prefectural governments. There are 542 traps for Med fly, 985 traps for Oriental fruit fly, Melon fly and Queensland fruit fly throughout Japan.

# 8. Outbreak

The incursion of Oriental fruit fly was detected in Okinawa as a result of this survey. And Sweet potato weevil was detected in Kagoshima and Kochi, and West Indian sweet potato weevil was detected in Kagoshima.

# 9. Emergency control

Emergency control is the measure regulated by Law aimed at eradication of pest. When the emergency control is implemented, the region and the pest under the emergency control are designated. In such a case, any movement of host plants of the subject pest is prohibited, the cultivation of host plants in the identified region is prohibited, and the cultivated plants are disposed. In addition, depending on the target pests, appropriate control measures are conducted.

# 10. The monitoring survey for domestic important pests

For domestic important pests, the pest forecast program is conducted by the Plant Protection Centers affiliated with prefectural governments.

# 11. The target pests of the pest forecast program

The target pests of the pest forecast program are designated by the national government. These pests widespread throughout Japan cause a great damage to agricultural crops.

# 12. The pest forecast system

The pest forecast reports including regional information are made by the Plant Protection Center of each prefecture. The Plant Protection Center surveys the occurrence of pests at each crop, collects the data, analyzes the factor the concerning the occurrence of pests, investigate the damages, and forecast the pest prevalence with simulation models using the statistical and experimental techniques.

# 13. The network system concerned with the pest forecast system

The Plant Protection Division of MAFF plays a central role in organizing the network system, including instruction, report of survey and circulation of information. The collected information is provided to related parties through website and fax transmission system.



# Main Topics:

- A. National Organizations for Agricultural Biosecurity
- **B.** Current Status of Invasive Alien Species
- C. Management of Invasive Alien Species






























| Currei                                | nt Status of I                   | AS in China              | I  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 2. Fifty of the 100<br>Species listed | World's Worst<br>by IUCN occur i | Invasive Alie<br>n China | n  |
| Category                              | in the World                     | in China                 | 100 OF THE WORLD'S                                       |
| Micro-organism                        | 8                                | 1                        | ALIEN SPECIES  |
| Aquatic plant                         | 4                                | 3                        | A SELECTION FROM THE GLOBAL<br>INVASIVE SPECIES DATABASE |
| Land plant                            | 32                               | 19                       |  |
| Aquatic invertebra                    | ite 8                            | 2                        |  |
| Land invertebrate                     | 18                               | 6                        |  |
| Amphibian                             | 3                                | 1                        | SAILS  |
| Fish                                  | 8                                | 6                        |  |
| Bird                                  | 3                                | 3                        |  |
| Reptile                               | 2                                | 0                        |  |
| Mammal                                | 14                               | 9                        |  |
| Total                                 | 100                              | 50                       |  |

### Current Status of IAS in China

3. Damages and Losses:

The economical and ecological impacts of alien dangerous invasive species are extremely great. The invasion has lessened the output and quality of agriculture, forestry, animal husbandry and fishery, increased greatly the control expense, caused the decrease of biodiversity and disappearance of native species, and has posed a threat to natural genetic resources.

An estimated economic losses for 11 invaded agricultural and forestry pests showed that the losses reached more than 57 billion Yuan annually.

## C. Current Framework and Actions in China



|                                   | Current Framework and Actions   |                                |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 2. R                              | ecent Actions in MOA  |                                |
|                                   | APEC Invasive Alien Species (IAS) Workshop<br>(Sept. 2005, Beijing)<br>Sponsored by<br>Chinese Ministry of Agriculture (MOA)<br>U.S. State Department   |                                |
| Ob<br>• [<br><i>4</i><br>• E<br>v | jectives:<br>Develop an APEC strategy that cuts across all relevance<br>APEC fora to address IAS within the context of trac<br>Build upon or develop an action plan for each of the<br>vorking groups or fora, as well as a mechanism for<br>communicating best practices among them. | vant<br>le;<br>e relevant<br>- |









| National Strategy Framework |                                      |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2. Roles for I              | AS Prevention and Management         |  |
| Role 1:                     | Prevention (Border control)          |  |
| Role 2:                     | Risk analysis                        |  |
| Role 3:                     | Detection                            |  |
| Role 4:                     | Monitoring                           |  |
| Role 5:                     | Eradication / elimination            |  |
| Role 6:                     | Mitigation                           |  |
| Role 7:                     | Suppression                          |  |
| Role 8:                     | Control                              |  |
| Role 9:                     | Restoration                          |  |
| Role 10:                    | Transparent administrative mechanism |  |
| Role 11:                    | Basic and applied research           |  |
| Role 12:                    | Public education                     |  |
| Role 13:                    | Intl' cooperation                    |  |
|                             |                                      |  |















For More Information and Further Cooperation, Please Contact:

Dr Fang\_Hao Wan Center for Management of Invasive Alien Species 12, Zhong-Guan-Cun, South Street, Beijing, China Tel/Fax: ++86 10 68975297 E-mail: Wanfh@cjac.org.cn, wanfanghao@public3.bta.net.cn http://www.invasivespecies.org,cn

#### Ms. Fu-Rong Lin

Plant Quarantine Division, NATESC, MOA 20 Maizidian street ,Beijing 100026, P.R,China Tel: 86-10-6419-4757, Fax:86-10-6419-4726 Email: linfurong@agri.gov.cn



























# **Thailand National Biosecurity Planning**

Plant Pathology Research Division

Plant Protection Research & Development Office

### Department of Agriculture

Wbutranu@yahoo.com

| Area (Ha)  | Whole Country(%)   |
|------------|--|
| 10.435.273 | 20.34  |
| 4.518.663  | 8.81   |
| 4.253.470  | 1.95   |
| 184,458    | 0.36   |
| 141,700    | 0.28   |
| 9 533 564  | 38.06  |
|            | Area (Ha)<br>10,435,273<br>4,518,663<br>4,253,470<br>184,458<br>141,700<br>0 533 564 |











| Potato : Sold | inun  | n tuberosum L. |
|---------------|-------|----------------|
| Гахопотіс Р   | ositi | ion :          |
| Domain        | •     | Eukaryota      |
| Phylum        | :     | Spermatophyta  |
| Subphylum     | :     | Angiospermae   |
| Class         | :     | Dicotyledonae  |
| Order         | :     | Solanalae      |
| Family        | :     | Solanalaceae   |







| Planting areas : 1997-2002 |                       |            |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Year                       | <b>Planting Areas</b> | Production |
|                            | (Ha)                  | (Tons)     |
| 1997                       | 5,232                 | 89,546     |
| 1998                       | 5,649                 | 93,318     |
| 1999                       | 7,326                 | 90,382     |
| 2000                       | 9,464                 | 100,122    |
| 2001                       | 9,292                 | 90,944     |
| 2002                       | 8.242                 | 97.370     |

## 5. Major Constraints :

Seed Quality -Imported .....OK -Domestic .....No K 20-50 % Cultivars : Atlantic, Kenebec Diseases : Late blight, Early blight

| Year | Quantity(Tons) |
|------|----------------|
| 1998 | 5,508          |
| 1999 | 6,606          |
| 2000 | 10,829         |
| 2001 | 5,025          |
| 2002 | 5,106          |
| 2003 | 15.522         |

## **Imported Countries :**

Scotland, Australia

Canada, USA,

Netherlands, etc.

## Ware potato Imported :

varies each year 500-22,000 Tons

USA, China, Australia

Netherlands, Germany

Canada, Newzealand

# 8. Phytosanitary requirements for importation of potatoes

Plant Quarantine Act B.E. 2507(1964)

Amended byPlant Quarantine 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.

Act B.E. 2542(1999)



Imported plants or plant materials are categorized as :

Prohibited materials Restricted materials Unprohibited materials

## **Based on the Act :**

**Potato was categorized :** 

**Restricted materials** 

## Phytosanitary requirements for importation of potatoes I. Seed potato : Phytosanitary certificate with additional declaration stating free of : 8 nematodes , 8 fungi, 1 bacteria,

4 virus, 1 viroid, 1 phytoplasma

## Fungi :

Aecidium cantensis Polyscytalum pustulans Puccinia pitteriana Spongospora subterranea Synchytrium endobioticum Phoma exigua var. foveata Thecaphora solani Sclerotinia sclerotiorum

## **Bacteria:**

Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. sepedonicus

Phytoplasm :

Potato witches' broom phytoplasma

Viroid :

Potato spindle tuber viroid

Virus :

Potato black ring spot virus

**Potato mop top virus** 

Potato yellow dwarf virus

**Potato rattle virus** 



## **Tolerance level :**

## Virus diseases :

Official field visual inspection not exceed 0.1 %

Threshold not exceed 0.1 %

**★** Revise after two export seasons

## Nematodes :

Ditylenchus destructor Ditylenchus dipsaci Globodera pallida Globodera rostochiensis Heterodera glycines Heterodera oryzae Heterodera zeae Necobbus aberrans

## Soils :

Loose soil ; not exceed 100 g/ 50 kg seed

Cake soil ; 5.0 % of tuber in a 600 unit samples

(30 tubers in bags of 25 kg each)

## **II.** Ware Potatoes :

Phytosanitary certificate

**Only washed potatoes are permitted** 

## **Powdery Scab of Potato**

Agent :

Spongospora subterranea f.sp. subterranea Toml.

**Powdery Scab of Potato :** 

**1. Taxonomic position :** 

Kingom : Protozoa

Phylum : Plasmodiophoromycota

- **Class** : **Plasmodiophoromycetes**
- **Order** : **Plasmodiophorales**
- Family : Plasmodiophoraceae



⇒ corky scab, potato wart disease

- ⇒ powdery scab of potato
- ⇒ powdery scab of tomato

## **3.** Host ranges

**Primary hosts :** 

Solanum tuberosum,

Lycopersicon esculentum

Secondary hosts :

Capsicum annuum, Datura stramonium Nicotiana rustica etc.
## 4. Plant Affected :

**Stages of infection :** 

- pre-emergence, vegetative growing

- flowering, post harvest

**Plant part affected :** 

-leaves, stems, roots,

-vegetative organs

| 5. Diseas          | e symptoms :   |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>Initial</b> : s | mall purple brown.                                       |
| ]                  | pimple-like pustules                                     |
| Developed          | size increased (30 mm), periderm rupture                 |
| Mature :           | powdery mass of cystosori<br>(spore-ball resting spores) |





## 7. Detection and inspection

Spongospora : cystosori Streptomyces : conidia barrel-shaped

**Symptoms – confusing :** 

Root knot & false root knot nematode

## 8. Diagnostic methods

- 1. Symptoms
- 2. Elisa test
- 3. PCR





# **10. Surveillance planning :**

- 2. Disease evaluations :
- 2.1 Field inspection :
  - disease incidence
  - disease severity ; root damage
  - other hosts infection ; tomato etc.
  - Samples collection



## 3. Conclusion and Reporting

- 3.1 Established pest lists Pest lists of Thailand Pest lists of Asia Countries Pest lists of global
- 3.2 Pest risk analysis

# Thank You

# Sawasdee





































# Problems in forest health surveillance

- Most observations ad hoc
- Need for systematic surveillance
- Large forest areas plantations, natural forests
- Difficult terrain
- Trees long-term crops
- Insufficient trained manpower



MS ISO 9001 : 2000











# To identify threats

- Past records
- Existing protection plans
- Relevant experience
- Relevant published literature
- Research
- Specialist and expert judgement
- Economic models

(iii) CAB International











# Estimating potential for establishment

- Are there suitable hosts in importing country?
- If pest transmitted by vectors are suitable vectors available?
- Is environment suitable for pest?
- Risk that existing controls for other pests unable to provide control
- What risk does biology of pest represent?

(iii) CAB International

### Estimating the potential for spread • How suitable is natural or managed environment? • Are vectors likely to spread • Risk that pest transported with commodities in

- importing countryLikelihood pest spreading to area of higher economic importance
- Level of risk represented by intended use of commodity
- Likelihood natural enemies unable to control spread

(III) CAB International

# Estimating potential for economic or environmental damage

- What is economic loss in existing geographical range
- Potential economic loss in importing country
- Potential loss to non-agricultural factors (e.g. natural environment)

(İİ) CAB International

|        | Risk estimation matrix                         |                       |                     |                     |                     |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ead    | Consequences of entry, establishment or spread |                       |                     |                     |                     |                     |                   |  |  |  |  |
| or spr |  | Negligibl<br>e impact | Very low            | Low                 | Moderate            | High                | Extreme<br>impact |  |  |  |  |
| nent o | High<br>likelihood                             | Negligibl<br>e risk   | Very low<br>risk    | Low risk            | Moderate<br>risk    | High risk           | Extreme<br>risk   |  |  |  |  |
| blishr | Moderate                                       | Negligibl<br>e risk   | Very low<br>risk    | Low risk            | Moderate<br>risk    | High risk           | Extreme<br>risk   |  |  |  |  |
| , esta | Low  | Negligibl<br>e risk   | Negligibl<br>e risk | Very low<br>risk    | Low risk            | Moderate<br>risk    | High risk         |  |  |  |  |
| entry  | Very low                                       | Negligibl<br>e risk   | Negligibl<br>e risk | Negligibl<br>e risk | Very low<br>risk    | Low risk            | Moderate<br>risk  |  |  |  |  |
| od of  | Extremely<br>low                               | Negligibl<br>e risk   | Negligibl<br>e risk | Negligibl<br>e risk | Negligibl<br>e risk | Very Iow<br>risk    | Low risk          |  |  |  |  |
| elihoo | Negligibl<br>e                                 | Negligibl<br>e risk   | Negligibl<br>e risk | Negligibl<br>e risk | Negligibl<br>e risk | Negligibl<br>e risk | Very low<br>risk  |  |  |  |  |
| Lik    | likelinood                                     |                       |                     |                     |                     | CAB Inte            | mational          |  |  |  |  |

| Common name                | Citrus<br>huanglongbing     | Coconut leaf<br>moth           | Spider mite            |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Scientific name            | Liberibacter<br>asiaticus   | Artona<br>catoxantha           | Tetranychus<br>piercei |
| Primary host               | Citrus and citrus relatives | Polyphagous –<br>coconut, sago | Polyphagous            |
| Plant part affected        | Whole plant                 |                                |                        |
| Entry potential            | Medium                      |                                | High                   |
| Establishment<br>potential | High                        | Low                            | High                   |
| Spread potential           | High                        | Low                            | High                   |
| Economic impact            | High                        | Low                            | Medium                 |





#### DEVELOPING BIOSECURITY PLANS: RISK MITIGATION AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

#### Introduced by Graeme Evans

#### **Risk Mitigation**

#### Mitigating risk in traded commodities

The challenge for governments in the trading environment dominated by the World Trade Organization is to liberalise trade in line with the rules set out in the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures. At the same time, governments need to exclude exotic pests that may undermine their capacity to trade in some markets. Governments recognise the risks and many have increased security at the border. Increasingly, many are seeking to address risk in the countries where traded commodities are produced.

Risk mitigation (phytosanitary) measures that are used to address risk in traded commodities include:

- Prohibition on proposed trade;
- Post entry quarantine, with testing for pathogens
- Quarantine in a third country, eg., rubber into south east Asia;
- Physical treatments
  - heat, cold, irradiation
- Chemical treatments
  - fumigation, pesticides, including herbicides;
- Process commodity under quarantine supervision pre-export or post arrival;
- Source commodities from pest-free areas and areas of low pest prevalence
  - surveillance, crop sanitation and in-crop pest management;
- Source commodities from protected environments
  - glasshouses, isolated environments, bagged fruit;
- Prohibition on parts of the host;
- Source commodities from certified crops, especially for seed;
- Harvest crops at an age when commodities are not susceptible;
- Harvest crops at a specified time of year;
- Control/regulate destination of commodities;
- Systems approach involves a combination of measures to meet the importing country's acceptable level of protection, eg, areas of low pest prevalence, in-crop treatment, inspection and certification;
- Pre-export and post-arrival inspection.

The process of identifying and selecting risk management measures may involve consideration of the following:

- What options are available to manage the risk?
- How effective are the options?
- How feasible are the options?
- What impacts do the options have?
- What is the best option, taking into account obligations under the SPS Agreement and the IPPC, and the need to ensure an appropriate level of protection.

# Mitigating Risk associated with non-traded commodities, illegal movement of commodities and other pathways for moving plant pests

Another approach to bio security is to plan ahead for incursions of exotic pests and to establish institutional structures and enact legislation so that authorities can put in place measures to contain and/or eradicate new pest incursions or, preferably, to keep exotic pest off-shore.

Were is possible to predict the arrival of exotic pests of agriculture, governments and industry would undoubtedly take pre-emptive steps to mitigate the risks. In practice, it is not possible to predict which new pests will arrive to threaten agriculture and, as a consequence, governments and industry often do not take pre-emptive action against the threats posed by exotic pests. However, analysis of the risks can provide a target list of those pests that pose the greatest threat to industry based on current knowledge of their behaviour and possible pathways for entry into new areas. Biosecurity planning is a strategic exercise to identify and rate the most likely threats posed by exotic diseases and to put in place measures to mitigate the risks, including through research and development. Workshop participants have addressed the issue of target lists in the previous session introduced by Dr Asteraki.

#### Workshop exercises

In this session, workshop participants are asked to consider and list pre-emptive actions, including through research and development, that might be undertaken to mitigate the risks posed by

- An exotic pest that threatens the oil palm industry of south east Asia; and
- an exotic pest of grapevines that could have a major impact on a number of countries around the world.

Workshop participants will be allocated to different groups to consider the essential elements of a biosecurity plan for one of the pests described below. Each group will nominate a 'facilitator' and someone to report back in a plenary session.

#### Red ring of oil palm

Much of the world's oil palm is concentrated in the countries of South East Asia. The industry there is based on germplasm that is exotic to the area. Through rigorous quarantine action and much good fortune, the oil palm industry is free from many serious exotic pests that attack *Elaeis guineensis* (endemic in Africa) and *Elaeis oleifera* (endemic to South America). The industry in south east Asia developed from a very narrow genetic base, although this has been expanded with the introduction of new germplasm to exploit desirable traits in non-commercial lines. However, there is a risk that the genetic base may narrow with the proposed introduction of clonal stock for propagation. The vulnerability of such plantings to the introduction of exotic pests is obvious.

The cause of red ring disease is the nematode *Bursaphelenchus cocophilus*. The nematode is known to be transmitted by the weevil *Rhynchophorus palmarum*. Other weevils, such as *Metamasius hemipterus*, *Dynamis borassi, Limnobaris calandriformis* and *Strategus aloeus* are also implicated as vectors in South America.

There are several species of *Rhynchophorus* living on oil palm in south east Asia, including *R. vulneratus*, *R. ferruginous and R. bilineatus*, but nothing is known of the capacity of these species to vector *B. cocophilus*.

The disease was first reported on coconut in 1905 in Trinidad but is now widespread in oil palm in South America. South east Asia is free from the disease. Whereas once the disease was considered the most serious in oil palm, it is now controlled to a large extent by treating wounds on palms, poisoning affected plants, rather than cutting these down, followed by removal of the affected plants. Mass trapping of the vector *R. palmarum* using baits reduces the population of the weevil and the incidence of red ring disease. The bait used is 'rhynchophorol', the aggregation pheromone produced by the male of the species, with sugar cane as a food source.

*B. cocophilus* is considered to be an obligate parasite and will not survive in rotting tissue beyond a few weeks and will die within a few days in soil. Other species of palm, especially coconut are known to be hosts of the pathogen.

#### Pierce's disease of grapevines

Pierce's Disease (PD) caused by the bacterium *Xylella fastidious* re-emerged as a serious problem in grape-growing regions in southern California in 1999. The severity of the outbreak was attributed to the appearance of a new insect, the Glassy Winged Sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata* that transmits PD. The sharpshooter feeds more intensely on grape vines and travels greater distances that other known vectors of PD. There is growing concern that the occurrence of GWSS in California may spread further north and into the premium grape growing regions in the Napa and

Sonoma Valleys. Apart from Yugoslavia, the disease remains confined to the US states of California, Florida and Texas as well as Mexico and Central America.

*X. fastidiosa* blocks the xylem vessels of plants and causes symptoms similar to water stress, resulting in the death of infected vines within two years. There are many different strains of *X.fastidious* that infect a range of plants, including citrus, almond, and ornamental plants such as hydrangea and fuschia.

Introduction to Group Discussion IV-

### **BIOSECURITY PREPAREDNESS**:

Collections, Host-pest Lists, Datasheets and Diagnostic Tools

🔘 CAB International









| Viruses and phytoplasmas       |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Plum pox virus                 |  |
| Tospoviruses                   |  |
| Citrus tristeza virus          |  |
| Phytoplasmas                   |  |
| Bacteria                       |  |
| Erwinia amylovora              |  |
| Xylella fastidiosa             |  |
| Liberibacter/Liberobacter spp  |  |
| Xanthomonas fragariae          |  |
| X. axonopodis pv citri         |  |
| Nematodes                      |  |
| Ditylenchus destructor/dipsaci |  |
| Xiphenema americanum group     |  |
| Bursaphalenchus xylophilus     |  |
| Insects and Mites              |  |
| Anastrepha spp                 |  |
| Anoplophora spp                |  |
| Trogoderma granarium           |  |
| Thrips palmi                   |  |







### Information sources for *Biosecurity* planning

- A REMINDER: what have we been trying to do in this *Biosecurity* planning workshop
- what are some of the relevant sources of information
- a demonstration of one system developed by FAO which may help

# **Biosecurity** planning

- first, consider the country's *Biosecurity* system
  - who is responsible for what?
  - how are these responsibilities documented?
  - how are resources allocated?
  - how is coordination between inspection (border), policy makers, scientists (diagnosis, pest specialists) achieved?

(highlighted as "governance issues" which have not been covered in the workshop so far)








| ess 🙆 http://www | v.aginternetwork.org/en/   |  |                      | ▼ ラ Go | Lit |
|------------------|--|--|----------------------|--------|-----|
|                  |  | F  |                      |        |     |
|                  | Home         About AGORA         Journals         Help         Contact us         A global partnership to provide free or reduced price         Online journal access to developing Countries  | English Français العربية   | Español              |        |     |
|                  | Welcome to AGORA Access to Global Online Research in Agriculture<br>The AGORA site provides access to 747 journals from major scientific publishers in the fields o<br>food, agriculture, environmental science and related social sciences. AGORA is available to<br>students and researchers in qualifying not-for-profit institutions in eligible developing countries            | Register Lo  | gin                  |        |     |
|                  | (N) E W S  |  |                      |        |     |
|                  | <ul> <li>The AGORA initiative celebrates its first anniversary - Waicent Portal - 3-2-2005</li> <li>More publishers sign up for AGORA initiative as demand scars for scientific literature in world's poorest countries - Waicent Portal - 20-5-2004</li> <li>We are pleased to recognize the new publisher partners who have joined AGORA since the October 2003 launch.</li> </ul> | HINARI<br>Health InterNetwork<br>Visit our sister site HINA<br>access major scientific ji<br>the field of public health. | ARI to<br>ournals in |        |     |
|                  | AGORA launched on October 14, 2003 - Press Release   |  | RI                   |        |     |
|                  |  | © F  | AO, 2005             |        |     |
|                  |  |  |                      |        |     |
|                  |  |  |                      |        |     |











- Google
- CABI and other compendia; peer-reviewed scientific publishing
- local sources (internal/grey literature, "industry experts", and published)
- GISP and other international initiatives
- projects (Pestnet; aphnet)

### Information sources for *Biosecurity* planning

- Google
- CABI and other compendia; peer-reviewed scientific publishing
- local sources (internal/grey literature, "industry experts", and published)
- GISP and other international initiatives
- projects (Pestnet; aphnet)

as well as...





### Why have we built it

To provide an authoritative means of accessing official information on food safety, animal and plant health relevant to the SPS Agreement

To allow cross-cutting queries (do more than just look at the sites of the standard setting bodies and the WTO)











| 🗿 International Portal on Food Safety, Animal and Plant Health - Microsoft Internet Explorer   | _ @ ×   |
|--|---|
| Ele Edit View Favorites Iools Help   | 18<br>11  |
| 4-Back • → - 🙆 💁 🚮 🔞 Search 📾 Favorites 🛞 Media 🧭 🛃 • 🎒 🖬 🗐 🛞  |   |
| Agdress 🗃 http://www.ipfsaph.org/En/default.jsp  | ▼ (∂Go Links »  |
| Google - 💽 🚯 Search Web 🔹 😥 Search Site 🛛 👘 PageBank 🚯 - 🖉 Options 🖹 - 🥒   |   |
| International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health<br>Home Commodity Cross-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type Source  | Title and description  Search the portal TRY Search by Topic(s) English   Español   Français Siteman Help Keyword Finder  |
| 04 July 2005: The International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health facilitates trade in foor<br>and agriculture products and supports the implementation of the Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS)<br>Agreement by providing a single access point for authorized official international and national information<br>across the sectors of food safety, animal and plant health.What's new:• Maximum residue limits (MRLs) for:<br>• veterinary drugs<br>• pesticides• Navigation by information type , such as:<br>• legislation and regulations , e.g., risk assessment• The portal is now available on CD-ROM | d Featured topics:<br>• HACCP<br>• Risk analysis<br>• Heavy metals<br>• Wood packaging<br>• Biotechnology/GMOS<br>• BSE<br>• FMD<br>• Codex standards<br>• Traceability<br>• Food additives<br>• Fruit fly<br>• Invasive alien species<br>Featured topics are derived from<br>recent search adjivity on the portal. |
| Can't find what you are looking for? Contact the Help Desk   |   |
| This portal is pleased to announce the interagency partners involved in this<br>For more information on each international organization or standard setting body, click on the<br>CED CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE C   | initiative.<br>corresponding logo below.  |
| Comments? Contact the webmaster at IPFSAPH@fao.org<br>Contains 22091 records International Portal on Food Safety, Animal and Plant Health  | About the portal login<br>© FAO, 2004 💌   |
| Dhttp://www.ipfsaph.org/En/default.jsp   | 🔮 Internet  |







| IPFSAPH - 1. Formal texts - Microsoft Int  | ernet Explorer   | _ & ×   |
|--|--|---|
| Eile Edit View Favorites Iools Help  |  |   |
| 수 Back 🔹 🔿 🖉 👔 🔕 Search  | 🗑 Favorites 🛞 Media 🧭 🛃 - 🎒 🐨 - 🗐 🔞  |   |
| Address Addres | Servlet?status=ND1jdGh0dHB3d3dmYW9vcmdhb3NpbmZvcm1hdGlvbnR5cGVmb3JtYWx0ZXh0JjY9  | ZW4mMzM9KiYzNz1rb3M∼ ▼ 🖉 Go Links ≫   |
| Google - 🐨 😚   | Search Web 🔹 😥 Search Site 🛛 👘 🥙 PageRank 🚯 🔹 🛃 Options 💼 🔹 🥒  |   |
|  |  | _   |
| luters which and Destant a   | w Frank Cartaba Andread & Direct Handth  |   |
| International Portal d   | on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health  | The second |
|  |  | Title and description  Search the portai  |
| Home Commodity Cross-Sect  | toral Issue Geography Information Type Source  | English Español Français  |
|  |  | Sitemap Help Keyword Finder   |
| Path > Information Type > 1. Forma   | al texts   |   |
|  |  |   |
| SUB-TOPICS   | Formal texts   |   |
| Agreement (146)  |  |   |
| Basic texts (12)   | Formal texts are documents of an internationally-negotiated or legally-  | binding nature governing the setting and  |
| Decisions (373)  | application of standards and legislations/regulations nationally and inte  | ernationally. They include:   |
| Disputes (31)  | Agreements: Regional, bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements est  | tablished between countries and/or  |
| Guidelines (135)   | organizations  | abilitied between coornies and or   |
| Legislation/ Regulation (10200)  | <ul> <li>Basic texts: Include constitutions, conventions, protocols and pro</li> </ul>   | cedure rules that establish a binding   |
| Maximum Residue Limit (2981)   | governing framework for an institution   | stitution on an issue under consideration   |
| Official summarias (150)   | <ul> <li>Disputes: Request for consultation on a specific issue from one material</li> </ul>   | ember of an international body to another   |
| Standards (593)  | <ul> <li>Guidelines: Tools to facilitate and guide certain actions and proc</li> </ul>   | cesses, usually outlining steps or tasks to   |
| Trade Concerns (204)   | follow (Source: FAOTERM, UNDP Programming Manual October 19  | 299).   |
|  | <ul> <li>Legislation: All documents containing laws or related to the proc<br/>department)</li> </ul>  | cess of their enacting (Source: FAO legal   |
| Topic Contains:  | <ul> <li>Regulations: All texts stating instructions, specifications, limits, ord</li> </ul>   | lers and rules that allow the execution of a  |
| 0 records  | law and that govern its procedure - encompasses "Technical regu  | ulation" - Document which lays down   |
| E-Mail this Page   | product characteristics or their related processes and production  | methods, including the applicable   |
|  | terminology symbols, packaging, marketing or labeling requirem   | r may also include or deal exclusively with<br>pents as they apply to a product, process or   |
|  | production method" (definition of the TBT agreement, March 2002  | -OECD)  |
|  | (Please note that legislation and regulations are combined when  | you search by type)   |
|  | <ul> <li>Maximum residue limits: the maximum concentration of a residue recommanded to be legally permitted or recognized at accentation.</li> </ul> | e (normally expressed as mg/kg) that is   |
| bttp://www.infsanb.org/servlet/CDSServlet?st.  | atus=ND1idGb0dHB3d3dmVW9vcmdbb3Nobm7vcm1bdGlvboB5cGW0cmEk7WNvbmNlcm5z1iV97W4r  | m/z/19Ki  |
|  |  |   |

| 🔋 IPFSAPH - Trade Concerns - Microsoft In  | ternet Explorer   |   |  |  |  |  | BX       |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|----------|
| Eile Edit Yiew Favorites Tools Help  |   |   |  |  |  |  |          |
| 🕁 Back 🔹 🤿 🖌 🙆 🛃 🛛 🎯 Search 🛛  | 👔 Favorites 🛛 Media 🎯 🛃 🖬 🖉 🕶 🗐 🔞   |   |  |  |  |  |          |
| Address 🕘 http://www.ipfsaph.org/servlet/CDS   | iServlet?status=ND1jdGh0dHB3d3dmYW9vcmdhb3NpbmZvcm1   | ndGlvbnR5c0   | GV0cmF   | kZWNvbmNlcr  | n5zJjY9ZW4r  | nMzM9KiYzNz1rb3M~ 💌 🔗 Go Li  | inks "   |
| Google - 💽 🕅   | Search Web 🔹 😨 Search Site 🛛 🛱 PageBank 🔂 🗸 🗌   | Options   | ; 🖻  | • 🥒  |  |  |          |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  | <b>^</b> |
| International Portal a   | n Food Safety Animal & P  | lant k  | -00  | dth  |  |  |          |
|  | in rood salery, Allina a r  | i di li li  | 100  |  | Title a  | and description 👻 Search the portal  | í I      |
|  |   |   |  |  | TRY S  | earch by Topic(s)  |          |
| Home Commodity Cross-Sec   | toral Issue Geography Information Type  | Sourc   | e  |  |  | English Español Français   |          |
| Path > Information Type > 1. Forma   | al texts > Trade Concerns   |   |  |  |  | Sitemap Help Keyword Finder  | 1        |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |          |
| Click here to refine your records I  | by free text, country, issue, or commodity ke   | /word   |  |  |  |  |          |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |          |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  | _        |
| Topic Information:   | Formal Texts  | View D  | )ate   | Tyne   | Source   | Geography  |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member pation and addressed by the  | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes   | View D  | oate<br>un<br>002  | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns  | Source<br>WTO  | Geography<br>Australia; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA  |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.  | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments   | View D<br>en 20<br>en 21<br>free 15   | 002<br>002<br>997  | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns   | Source<br>WTO<br>WTO   | Geography<br>Australia; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile  |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>wTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records  | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments<br>Agricultural biotechnology approval process  | View D<br>and 20<br>and 20  | 002<br>002<br>997<br>002   | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns  | Source<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO  | Geography<br>Australia; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines   |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>E-Nail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page                | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments<br>Agricultural biotechnology approval process<br>Amendment of the food sanitation law  | View D<br>a en 20<br>en 0<br>19<br>en 20<br>19<br>en 20<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19   | 002<br>997<br>002  | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns   | Source<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO  | Geography<br>Australis Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep   |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>© E-Mail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page              | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments<br>Agricultural biotechnology approval process<br>Amendment of the food sanitation law<br>Amendment of the Japanese Plant Protection<br>Law   | View D<br>a en 20<br>en 20 | 0 ate<br>0 0 2<br>0 dt<br>9 9 97<br>0 0 0 2<br>0 0 0 2<br>0 0 2<br>0 0 2<br>0 0 1<br>0 0 1   | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns  | Source<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO   | Geography<br>Australia; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Chile; European Union; New<br>Zealand; Philippines; Uruguay   |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>E-Mail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page                | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments<br>Agricultural biotechnology approval process<br>Amendment of the food sanitation law<br>Amendment of the Japanese Plant Protection<br>Law<br>Ban on antibiotics in feed   | View D<br>a en 20<br>en 0<br>en 19<br>en 20<br>en 20<br>a en 20<br>a en 20<br>a en 19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19<br>19   | 0002<br>oct<br>997<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>001<br>001<br>001   | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns  | Source<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO<br>WTO  | Geography<br>Australia; Philippines; European<br>Uinon; USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Chile; European Union; New<br>Zealand; Philippines; Uruguay<br>European Union; USA; Australia;<br>Canada   |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>E-Mail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page                | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments<br>Agricultural biotechnology approval process<br>Amendment of the food sanitation law<br>Amendment of the Japanese Plant Protection<br>Law<br>Ban on antibiotics in feed<br>Ban on food grade wax  | View         D           Image: a constraint of the second seco  | Oate           un           002           997           0v           002           0v           002           0v           001           ul           999           ott           001           ul           999           ott           001 | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns  | Source           wTo   | Geography<br>Australis; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Chile; European Union; USA; Australia;<br>Canada<br>India; USA  |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>E-Mail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page                | Formal Texts<br>Access of California table grapes<br>Actions taken by local governments<br>Agricultural biotechnology approval process<br>Amendment of the food sanitation law<br>Amendment of the Japanese Plant Protection<br>Law<br>Ban on antibiotics in feed<br>Ban on food grade wax<br>Ban on hormones in animal production                    | View         D           Image: A state of the stat  | Date<br>un<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>00  | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns   | Source           wTo   | Geography<br>Australis; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Chile; European Union; USA; Australia;<br>Canada<br>India; USA<br>Indonesia; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Mexico   |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>204 records<br>E-Mail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page | Formal Texts Access of California table grapes Actions taken by local governments Agricultural biotechnology approval process Amendment of the food sanitation law Amendment of the Japanese Plant Protection Law Ban on antibiotics in feed Ban on food grade wax Ban on hormones in animal production Ban on pet food imports                       | View         D           Image: Second sec  | Date<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>002<br>00  | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns   | Source           wTo               | Geography<br>Australis; Philippines; European<br>Union; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Chile; European Union; USA; Australia;<br>Canada<br>India; USA<br>Indonesia; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Mexico<br>Hungary; Turkey   |          |
| Topic Information:<br>Specific issues related to trade of food<br>and agricultural products raised by a<br>member nation and addressed by the<br>WTO.<br>Topic Contains:<br>204 records<br>© E-Mail this Page<br>Bookmark this Page              | Formal Texts Access of California table grapes Actions taken by local governments Agricultural biotechnology approval process Amendment of the food sanitation law Amendment of the Japanese Plant Protection Law Ban on antibiotics in feed Ban on food grade wax Ban on hormones in animal production Ban on pet food imports Ban on salmon imports | View         D           Image: a constraint of the second seco  | Date<br>un<br>002<br>od<br>997<br>ov<br>002<br>ov<br>002<br>ul<br>001<br>ul<br>999<br>od<br>001<br>ul<br>999<br>od<br>002<br>ul<br>001<br>100<br>100<br>100<br>100<br>100<br>100<br>10   | Type<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns<br>Trade<br>Concerns | Source           wTo           wTo | Geography<br>Geography<br>Australis Philippines; European<br>Uino; USA<br>USA; Chile<br>European Union; USA; Argentina;<br>Canada; Australia; Philippines<br>Japan; China; Korea Rep<br>Japan; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Chile; European Union; USA; Australia;<br>Canada<br>European Union; USA; Australia;<br>Canada<br>India; USA<br>Indonesia; USA; Australia; Canada;<br>Mexico<br>Hungary; Turkey<br>Australia; Canada; USA |          |





| 🖥 IPFSAPH - Commodity - Microsoft Internet Explorer   | _ 6 ×   |
|---|---|
| Eile Edit View Favorites Iools Help   |   |
| ↓ Back • → - ③ Ø 🚮 🔞 Search 📷 Favorites ෯Media 🎯 🖏• 🎒 📰 🗐 🛞   |   |
| Address 😹 http://www.ipfsaph.org/servlet/CDS5ervlet?status=Mj1vcmcuZmFvLndhaWNlbnQuY2RzLkZ1bGxL   | JZXh0U2VhcmNoUmVzdWx0cyY2PWVuJm9yZy5mYW8ud2FpY2VudC5jZHMuRr 💌 🔗 Go 🛛 Links 🏾  |
| Google - 💽 👘 Search Web 🔹 😨 Search Site 🛛 🖉 🛛 PageBank 🚯 -  | 🖳 Options 💼 👻 🥒   |
| International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & I   | Plant Health avian influenza<br>Full Text Search the portal<br>TRY Search by Topic(s)   |
| Home Commodity Cross-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type  | e Source English Español Français   |
| Path > <u>Search</u>  | Sitemap Help Keyword Finder   |
| Full Text Search for: avian influenza returned 311 results Click here for Title-Description Se  | arch results  |
| Related Searches Expand search to include relevant keywords and synonyms  |   |
| Results (1-10 of 311)         1.             2004/102/EC: Commission Decision of 26 January 2004 approving con avian influenza and of Newcastle disease (Text with EEA relevance) (noti (2004) 110) (Eur-Lex - 3200400102)         Publication Date: 10 Feb 2004         Community measures for the control of avian influenza and Newcastle disease and for Newcastle disease are laid down in Annex V10         View Full Text:          I en           2.          D 2004/111/EC: Commission Decision of 29 January 2004 on the implementation of surveys for (2) regular monitoring of pourty flocks and will birds in order to assess strains currently not covered by the control measures of         View Full Text:          en           3.          D 2003/128/EE: Commission Decision of 11 June 2003 laying down additi before lifting restrictions applied in accordance with Council Directive 92, influenza (1000)           3.          D 2003/428/EE: Commission Decision of 11 June 2003 laying down additi before lifting restrictions applied in accordance with Council Directive 92, influenza (1000) | by language : All by language |
| Publication Date : 12 Jun 2003<br>lifting restrictions applied in accordance with Council Directive 92/40/EEC in relation<br>Community measures for the control of avian influenza (2) Since 28 February 27<br>Community measures for the control of avian influenza (2) Since 28 February 27   | to autan influenza , introduced<br>183 the Netherlands declared several   |
| <u> </u>  | 🖉 Internet  |
|   |   |

| Ele Edt yjew Fgvorkes [ools Help<br>↓ Back + → - ② ? ① ② ③ ③ ③ @Search @Fevorkes @Meda ③ ② ↓ ③ ◎ ③<br>Ağtress @ http://www.jpfsph.org/servlet/DDSservlet/Status=Mij.vonc27r4.tn/haWMbnQu/2R2L21L6sU2Xh0UX/hamNoUm/2dW.dcy/2PWVJJm9/ZySmVWBud2FpY2VudC5(2PMVBrv ♥ @G ↓<br>Google - ● @Search Web + @Search Ste ③ PaePhan ④ + @options E + Ø<br>International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health<br>Home Commodity Cross-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type Source English Español Français<br>Stemap Help Keyword Finder<br>Path > Search   | inks »   |
|---|----------|
| ↓ Back · → · ② ② ③ ③ Search information Type       Source       Source | inks »   |
| Address ≧ http://www.ipfsaph.org/servlet/CDSServlet/status=Mj1voncuZmFvIndhaWMbnQu12RzUkZ1bGxUZrbdUZrhonNoUm/zdWx.dcy/12PWNuDm9yZySm1W8ud2Fp12VudCSj2HMuRr ♥ № 6 L<br>Google • ♥ ♥ Search Web • @25earch Ste ♥ ♥ ₩Finnt ● • © options È • Ø<br>International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health<br>Home Commodity Cross-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type Source English   Español   François  <br>Path > Search<br>Path > Search   | inks »   |
| Google - <ul> <li></li></ul>  |          |
| International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health           International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health         avian influenza           Fut Text         Search the portal           Home         Commodity         Cross-Sectoral Issue           Geography         Information Type         Source           Path > Search         Sitemap  |          |
| International Portal on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health avian influenza Fut Text Expandity Cross-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type Source English Expandit Fut Text Fut Text Expandit Fut Text Expandit Fut Text Fut Text Fut Text Expandit Fut Text Fut Text Expandit Fut Text Fut Text Expandit Fut Text Expandit Fut Text Fut Fut Fut Fut Fut Fut Fut Fut Fut Fu   |          |
| Full Text         Search the portal           Home         Commodity         Cross-Sectoral Issue         Geography         Information Type         Source         English         Español         Français           Path > Search         Sitemap         Help         Keyword Finder  |          |
| Home         Commodity         Cross-Sectoral Issue         Geography         Information Type         Source         English         Español         Français           Path > Search         Sitemap         Help         Keyword Finder  | -        |
| Home         Commodity         Cross-Sectoral Issue         Geography         Information Type         Source         English         Español         Français           Path > Search         Sitemap         Help         Keyword Finder         Sitemap         Help         Keyword Finder  |          |
| Path > <u>Search</u>  | a        |
|   | a        |
| Full Text Search for: avian influenza returned 311 results Click here for Title-Description Search results Limit results :  |          |
| Related Searches Expand search to include relevant keywords and synonyms Expand search to include keywords synonyms and translations  |          |
| Results (1-10 of 311)   | -        |
| 1. D 2004/102/EC: Commission Decision of 26 January 2004 approving contingency plans for the control of avian influenza and of Newcastle disease (Text with EEA relevance) (notified under document number C (2004) 110) (EUF-Lex - 3200401012)<br>Publication Date : 04 Feb 2004<br>Community measures for the control of avian influenza (1), as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 806 and rationality the said Decision should be codified. (2) Avian influenza and Newcastle disease plans for the control of avian influenza and for Newcastle disease relaid down in Annex VI to<br>View Full Text: en   |          |
| 2. D 2004/111/EC: Commission Decision of 29 January 2004 on the implementation of surveys for avian influenza in poultry and wild birds in Member States, to be carried out during 2004 (notified under document number C(2004) 134/ (Eur-Lex - 320040011) Publication Date: 105 Feb 2004 COMMISSION DECISION of 29 January 2004 on the implementation of surveys for avian influenza in avian influenza (2) regular monitoring of poultry flocks and wild birds in order to assess strains of the avian influenza virus, which are currently not ocvered by the control measures of View Full Text: end  |          |
| 3. D 2003/428/EC: Commission Decision of 11 June 2003 laying down additional measures to be carried out before lifting restrictions applied in accordance with Council Directive 92/40/EEC in relation to avian influenza (Text with EEA relevance) (notified u (Eur-Lex - 32003D0428) Publication Date : 12 Jun 2003 lifting restrictions applied in accordance with Council Directive 92/40/EEC in relation to avian influenza, introduced lifting restrictions for the control of avian influenza (2013 the Netherlands declared several   |          |
|   | <u> </u> |





| 🗿 International Portal on Food Safe   | ty, Animal and Plant Health - Microsoft Internet Explorer         | X  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Elle Edit View Favorites Iools        | Help  | (III)  |
| ⇔Back • ⇒ • 🙆 😰 🖓 🔞                   | earch 🝙 Favorites 🎯 Media 🧭 🛃 🎿 📨 📃 🔞                             |  |
| Address 🛞 http://www.ipfsaph.org/En/0 | QuickQuery.jsp  | ▼ @Go Links *  |
| Google -                              | 🔹 😚 Search Web 🔹 😨 Search Site 🛛 🔊 🛛 PageBank 🚯 🔹 🛃 Options 🛅 🍷 🥒 |  |
| International Port                    | al on Food Safety, Animal & Plant Health                          | Title and description  Search the portal TBY Search by Topic(d)  |
| Home Commodity Cross                  | s-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type Source                | English   Español   Français   |
|                                       |   | Sitemap Help Keyword Finder  |
| Search by Topic(s) :                  | Select one or more topics from the boxes below :more              |  |
| Commodity                             | No selected   |  |
| Cross-Sectoral Issue                  | No selected   | •  |
| Geography                             | Japan (334)   | •  |
|                                       | Japan (334)   |  |
| Information Source                    | Jordan (26)<br>Kazakhstan (16)                                    |  |
| Information Type                      | Kenya (55)<br>Kiribati (9)<br>Korea D P Rp (10)                   | _  |
|                                       | Korea Rep (223)<br>Kuwait (8)                                     |  |
| Comments? Contact the webm            | Kyrgyzstan (19)   | portal login   |
| Contains 22091 records                | Laos (11)<br>Latvia (84)  | ▼A0, 2004  |
|                                       |   | _  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| ž)                                    |   | M Internet   |
| 2                                     |   | Ser and the second seco |

| 🗿 IPFSAPH - Commodity - Microsoft Internet Explorer  | ×                                   |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Eile Edit Yew Favorites Iools Help   | (H)                                 |
| ↓ Back + → - ② 한 삶 ② Search ⓐ Favorites ③ Media ③ 월 · 슬 ゔ 들 ③  |                                     |
| Address 🕘 http://www.ipfsaph.org/servlet/CDSServlet?status=Mj1vcmcuZmFvLndhaWNbnQuY2RzLktPU2VhcmNoUmVzdWxOcyY2PWVuJm9yZy5mYW8ud2Fp1  | /2VudC5jZHMu509TZWFyYz▼ ♂Go Links » |
| Google - 💽 😚 Search Web - 😥 Search Site 🛛 🖉 PageRank 🚯 - 🗖 Options 🖹 - 🌶   |                                     |
| Home Commodity Cross-Sectoral Issue Geography Information Type Source  | rch by Topic(s)                     |
| Path > <u>Search</u>   |                                     |
| Title-Description Search for: [Japan] AND [WTO] returned 264 results   | Limit results :                     |
| Related Searches Expand search to include relevant keywords and synonyms<br>Expand search to include keywords, synonyms and translations   | By Janguage - English               |
| Results (1-10 of 264)  | by language                         |
| 1. ① JAPAN - MEASURES AFFECTING THE IMPORTATION OF APPLES (Disputes)<br>01 Nov 2003<br>Information source : WTO<br>WTO Dispute Settlement (DS 243) the Appellate Body: (a) finds that the Panel had the "authority" to make findings and<br>draw conclusions with respect to all apple fruit from the United States, including immature apples; (b) upholds the Panel's fi<br>View Full Text: 圈 en; 圈 es; 圈 fr                               | Search within results               |
| <ol> <li>D JAPAN - MEASURES AFFECTING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (Disputes)<br/>01 Feb 1999</li> <li>Information source : WTO<br/>WTO Dispute Settlement (DS 76)under the Plant Protection Law of 1950 and the Plant Protection Law Enforcement<br/>Regulation of the same year, Japan prohibits the importation of eight agricultural products originating from, inter alia, the<br/>United S</li> <li>View Full Text: B en; B es; fr</li> </ol> |                                     |
| 3. [1] Japan ( WTO SPS enquiry point )<br>Information source : WTO   |                                     |
| 4. ① Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures - Experience of Equivalence in the Area of Sanitary<br>and Phytosanitary Measures - Submission by Japan ( Communications )<br>01 Jul 2001<br>Information source : WTO<br>Japan's experience of equivalence in the area of SPS<br>View Full Text: 勉 en; 勉 fr; 勉 es  |                                     |
| <ol> <li>ID committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures - Regulatory Framework and Processes for<br/>Development and Adoption of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures - Submission by Japan at the Meeting of<br/>20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2</li></ol>   | <u>•</u>                            |
| Done   | 🖉 Internet                          |
|  | AT PAS                              |

#### Usage of the system

quantitative

- webserver logfile analysis (volumetrics)

- application log analysis (click paths,

search strings, document downloads)

qualitative

- email queries/helpdesk
- questionnaire/useability testing



| antitati              |        |        |  |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--|
|                       | Мау    | June   |  |
| Page<br>views/<br>day | 14,300 | 14,700 |  |
| Visits/<br>month      | 57,400 | 71,500 |  |
| visitors              | 35,500 | 44,200 |  |
| visit >1              | 4,800  | 5,900  |  |





### CITRUS GREENING AND CITRUS TRISTEZA N NEGARA BRUNEI DARUSSALAN

Citrus is one of the most important commodity of fruit industry in Negara Brunei Darussalam.





The result of the survey showed that citrus trees were infected by citrus tristeza virus and citrus greening / Huanglongbing.

Both diseases can be spread by vectors and vegetative propagation.







Caused by Citrus Tristeza Virus and the vectors for this disease are aphids, Toxoptera citricidus dan Aphis gossypii.

Infected plants will show symptoms such as yellowing of leaves, wilting, defoliation, stem pitting and die back.

But the plants that were surveyed were symptomless.







# CITRUS GREENING/ HUANGLONGBING























- M Thailand
- 1980, about 95% of citrus trees were infected in Northern and Eastern parts of Thailand
- Then the disease spread to Southern parts of Thailand

## Action Plan for Control Strategies





- Planting indigenous fruits campaign
- Control of insect vectors
- Awareness program to farmers and public on Citrus Greening and Citrus Tristeza Virus through fact sheet and seminars
- Conduct case study for disease control using disease-free seedlings from certified nursery

| Recommended insecticides for<br>aphids control |                             |                              |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Brand Name                                     | Mode of action              | Rate for 1<br>litre of water | Spraying<br>interval<br>(days) | Duration<br>before<br>harvest<br>(days) |  |  |  |  |
| Rogor<br>(Dimethoate<br>38%)                   | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 0.6 - 2.0 ml                 | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Karate 2.5% EC                                 | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 1 ml                         | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Sumi-alpha 2.5<br>EC                           | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 1 - 2 ml                     | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Baythroid 5 EC                                 | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 1.0 ml                       | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |                             |                              |                                |   |  |  |  |  |

| Recommended insecticide for<br>aphids control |                             |                              |                                |   |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Brand Name                                    | Mode of action              | Rate for 1 litre<br>of water | Spraying<br>interval<br>(days) | Duration<br>before<br>harvest<br>(days) |  |  |  |
| Confidor 200<br>SL                            | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 0.25 - 0.38 ml               | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |
| Merit 100 SL                                  | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 0.5 ml                       | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |
| Rogor<br>(Dimethoate<br>38%)                  | Contact &<br>Stomach Poison | 0.6 - 2.0 ml                 | 7                              | 7                                       |  |  |  |
| 38%)  |                             |                              |                                |   |  |  |  |










# Managing Bio-security Threats:

# Indonesian Experience in Handling the Outbreak of Avian Influenza

# Avian Influenza Outbreak in Indonesia

Indonesia is a country among those that was affected by highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI). According to the latest data published by Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Indonesia (MOA), the avian influenza struck in total 18 provinces, including 113 districts and cities. The geographic distribution of AI in Indonesia is described on figure 1.



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of Avian Influenza in Indonesia (April 2005)

It is reported that, as of March 2005, the number of poultry deaths had surpassed 16.2 million. Mortalities peaked in January 2004, when MOA recorded nearly 2.6 millions poultry deaths. So far there is no case indicating the spread of AI to human population.

In 2004, MOA estimated the economic loses due to Avian Influenza range between 488 billion rupiah (USD 53 million) if the Government of Indonesia can bring the outbreak under control, and as high as 7.7 trillion rupiah (USD 836 million) should the government fail to do so. According to a 2004 FAO report, the impact of Avian Influenza on poultry sector in Indonesia was as follow:

- Demand for day old chicken in infected regions dropped 57.9 percent for broiler and 40.4 percent for layer chicken
- Demand for livestock feed fell 45 percent for all kinds of poultry food
- Production supply declined 40.7 percent for broiler and 52.6 percent for layer chickens
- Job opportunities plunged by 39.5 percent
- Poultry export dropped from USD 4.9 million in 2004 to only USD 158,000 between January and October 2004.

# **Control Strategy**

In response to the outbreak, the Government of Indonesia has formulated strategies as outlined in Ministry of Agriculture Decree No. 17 of February 2004. Those include the followings:

- Improve bio-security to avoid contact/contamination with infected livestock.
- Improve the early warning system by reporting to MOA any disease outbreak as soon as possible, but no later than 24 hours.
- Enhance monitoring and surveillance in all areas, especially those with high poultry population density and previously hit by AI to facilitate early detection.
- Forestall an endemic by monitoring poultry traffic to prevent the trade in tainted poultry.
- Apply quarantine/isolation of infected livestock.
- Decontaminate or disinfect all materials, tools, vehicles, and anything else related to livestock.
- Implement mass vaccination to all poultry farmers in each area affected location.
- Monitor AI vaccine distribution and use (especially of imported vaccine) in the breeding and commercial farms.
- Coordinate with all relevant stakeholders, including animal quarantine authorities, the public and the local poultry in each area.

The control actions that the GOI had effected in order to fight AI include:

- Establishment of Avian Influenza Crisis Centre at the office of Directorate General Livestock
- Establishment of Task Force of control and eradication of AI
- Request government emergency fund for the control and eradication of AI
- Issued a guidelines on prevention, control and eradication of AI
- Issued a decree of MOA regarding declaration of AI outbreak in Indonesia
- Issued a circular letter dated on 24 February 2004 on obligatory to report any death cases and selective culling on weekly basis
- Issued a circular letter dated on 9 January 2004 on the control of vaccine distribution
- Socialization and campaign of AI and safety of chicken consumption
- Distribution of 32 million doses of vaccines to farmers in infected areas
- Approved 3 (three) local vaccine producers (PT Vaksindo Satwa Nusantara, PT Medion and Pusvetma)
- Approved PT Bio Farma as an impoeter for AI vaccine to fulfil the shortage of vaccine.

## **Bio-security Improvement**

The GOI's program designed to prevent the spread of Avian Influenza between poultry premises and the introduction of new infection to susceptible poultry can be summarized based on the three basic principles of bio-security, i.e., traffic control, isolation, and sanitation.

Traffic control includes the traffic into farm, traffic pattern within farm, and traffic leaving farm. In order to prevent the spread of AI from infected areas to free areas, the Director General of Livestock Production, MOA has issued a circular letter no. 10/PD.610/F/02.05 addressed to all related institutions at both central and local government (provincial and district level), which among others provides a guidance to control the traffic of poultry and its product from and/or into infected areas. Furthermore, to prevent the spread of AI from other infected countries, GOI has

temporarily stopped the import of poultry products from Thailand, China, Vietnam, South Korea, Japan, and Cambodia.

Isolation refers to the confinement of animals within a controlled environment that excludes vectors of diseases. Mechanical transmission of virus by anything that can walk, crawl, or fly from farm to farm should be presumed. Due to the high prevalence of backyard/free range flocks, this principle has not been fully applied particularly among small-scale farms.

Sanitation addresses the disinfection of materials, people and equipment entering the farm and the cleanliness of the personnel on the farm.

In general the level of bio-security application in poultry sector in Indonesia can be described based on the size of the farms:

- Large farms, particularly breeding farms and commercial layer farms have applied strict bio-security procedures
- Some broiler farms have applied strict bio-security procedures
- Backyard farms applied bio-security procedures at very minimum level

In order to assist small-scale farms to improve their bio-security measures, Directorate General of Livestock Production, MOA has distributed guidance book on "the prevention and control of Avian Influenza in Small-scale Farms" to veterinarians working in direct contact with small-scale farmers.

All the strict control strategies carried out by the Ministry of Agriculture and other related agencies, described above, have made the cases of Avian Influenza in Indonesia to be under control, though sporadic cases still occurred in some regions. The monitoring data published by the Indonesian Crisis Centre for Avian Influenza indicates that the spread of Avian Influenza has been successfully isolated from the total coverage of around 18 provinces during 2003 and the first half of 2004 to only some provinces in 2005. During the period of January – March 2005, the cases of Avian Influenza was recorded to occur in only three provinces, i.e. South Sulawesi, West Java and Central Java covering only 10 districts/regencies, with a total poultry death of only 281.730.

# MANAGING BIOSECURITY THREATS in Viet Nam

Presented by Vu Manh Tri

Manager of Monitoring Surveillance Division - PEPQ No I Plant Protection Department Ministry of Agriculture & Rural Development

at

Workshop on Building Biosecurity Planning and Surveillance Capacity for APEC Member Economies August 15-20<sup>th</sup> 2005 in Kuala Lumpur-Malaysia

1

#### **Introduction**

Invasive alien species are serious threats to biosecurity of each economy in particular and the whole world in general. They threaten indigenous species by competing for food, encroaching on domicile, spreading diseases over indigenous organism species, including animals, plants and human. They damage crops, lose ecological environment 's balance, exercise negative influence on biodiversity at national level, international level. Many nations of the world had to spend a lot of money and more effort on preventing and exterminating when the invasive alien species invade their territory

Vietnam, a country is situated in South East of Asia with more than 3,700 kilometers of land border and nearly 3,200 kilometers of coastline and its neighbouring countries are China, Laos, Cambodia, but up to now, having no report that assessed adequately on alien species. Especially, newly introduced species are still having a small quantity. Nevertheless, they are having a latent risk of breaking out to cause great disasters of agricultural production and ecological environmental disaster.

However, in the past period, my economy also had mistake lessons from invade alien species, which bring about bad effects to environment, economy and community health. I would like to report some typical

# Some typical Invasive Alien Species in Viet Nam

# 1/ Golden apple snail (pomacea):

This species originates from South America to be entered Vietnam from 1975 with a small number. It begins to become a serious problem from 1989. By 1995, it has caused a lot of serious damages to agricultural production and ecological Environment. The total areas of crop are infested to reach 15,305 hectare. Thousands of rice crop hectare are completely destroyed.

The golden apple snail disaster has directly threatened to assure security for national food program.

The sending on golden apple snail annihilating campaign comes to hundreds of billion VN ®ong. FAO has given a support to Vietnam US \$ 250,000 for this campaign .

Now, golden apple snail is in being with a small quantity under integrated pest management (IPM) and they almost have been managing to break out.

3

#### 2/ Mimosa pigra :

The origin of *mimosa pigra* from the Tropical America region. They entered Vietnam from 1981. They are shrub species sprouting density with many hard prickle. The damaging effects of them are to make vegetational cover changing, adverse effect to fauna where they invaded. Very few other crops can grow in the shade of *mimosa pigra* and almost not animal can use them to eat. They obstructed traffic and activity of livestock.

Now, they appear everywhere, especially The National Tram Chim Garden, A famous conserving zone of Vietnam. There, they transgress pasture of *ELeocharis spp.* that are important foods of the red head crane (*Grus antigone sharpii*) and it is a precious rare bird of Vietnam and the world. This is a grave threat to this bird. Furthermore, the red head crane is one of the objects of attracting tourist from domestic and foreign country. The invading of *mimosa* has been indirectly influenced by source of revenue from tourist. Every year, the local government has to spend hundreds of million Vietnam dong on preventing and exterminating them. 3/ Eichhornia crassipes (water hyacinth):

This invasive Alien species originated from Central America and South America. Water hyacinth was introduced into Vietnam in 1992 and up to now, they have been become a kind of crop commonly all the country. The damaging effect on waterway, obstructed irrigational system, increasing irrigation cost. They shade sunlight infiltrate into the water and reduce oxygen dissolved concentration leading to change composition of water plants and bring about changing population structure of animals and plants. The carrion of water hyacinth when disintegrating causes an infected water supply.

4/ Myocastor coypus:

This invasive alien species originated from south America at the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. They were introduced into Vietnam with economic purpose. They were fed to test at some cities in Vietnam as Hanoi, Hochiminh, Daclac. However, as timely discover their potential harms to agricultural dyke system and people healthy. Mard has promulgated a decision to destroy the whole *myocastor coypus* through out country

# 5/ Tenebrio molitor:

In 1996, this invasive alien species was introduced into to Vietnam by unofficial way with purpose of food birds. Only in short time, they were discovered and right away Plant Protection Department, an office belonging to MARD has combined with organizations which has responsibility for killing them timely.

## 6/ Lantana camera :

This species originated from Central America. They were introduced into Vietnam from the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century for the ornamental plant. Now, they are occurred everywhere the whole country. They burns easily and to be regenerated quickly after burning to transgress many other crops. Although, they have not caused any losses but in future they will become an implicit threat.

6

# Management invasive Alien species organizations system of Viet Nam

1/ Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development:

a. Plant Protection Department

b. Agriculture Department

c. Department of Animal Health

2/ Ministry of Resources and Environment:

Include: Organizations of manage Environment

Organizations of manage Biodiversity

3/ Ministry of Aquiculture:

Include: Organizations of guarantine aquatic

7

product

4/ General Customs Department.

# Viet Nam promulgate legal documents for managing invasive alien species

1/ Decree of plant protection and quarantine – 2001: Article 1, prescribe: "Degree include prevention and control of pests harmful to vegetation resources, plant quarantine "

pests harmful to vegetation resources, piant quarantine Decree also prescribe : Article 15 : "Entrust minister of Agriculture and Rural development decide to declare list of plant quarantine subjects of socialist Republic of Vietnam." Article 27 : "Every offence introduce or spread. Strange pest into Vietnam or among areas in economy is strictly prohibited."

2/ Decree of veterinary 1993:

Article 1, prescribe: "Degree include methods prevention and control of epidemic diseases to animals, animal quarantine and animal product

3/ The laws of Protection Environment 1994

4/ Ordinance of government No 58/2002/N§CP - Including Regulation on Plant Quarantine , Plant Protection and Pesticide

Decision 58/2001/QD-BNN-Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development

- Promulgate list of plant varieties, livestock is allowed import and is prohibited import. 6/ Join circular No 17/2003/TTLT-BTC- BNN&PTNT-BTS guide

inspection supervising, quarantine import- export commodities . 7/ Circular No 62/2001/TT-BNN-MARD-guide import export commodities belong to management of speciality

# Suggestions: 1/ Building a common strategy of APEC to cope with invasive alien species. 2/ Enhancing human resource development of risk assess and search for invasive alien species of member economy. 3/ Cooperation, sharing information experiences and knowledge together with risk analytic tool based on science and bring explicit and suitable for phytosanitary methods by SPS agreement of WTO. 4/ Building main principle to diagnose and determine, search for invasive Alien species. Thank you ! 9





Article 8(g) of the Convention on Biological Diversity requires countries to establish or maintain means to regulate, manage or control the risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms resulting from biotechnology which are likely to have adverse environmental imparts that could affect the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity taking also into account the risks to health.



In past time, in Viet Nam some Invasive Alience Species have appear. they make very harmful to produce in agriculture and forestry... .for example: Nematodes *Rhadopholus similis* damage pepper in high mountain in Tay Nguyen, giant sensitive plant (*Mimosa pigra* L.)in Tram chim National Garden in the South, golden apple snail (*Pomacea* sp) damage rice everywhere in the my country...







# IV. Management Methods and control of Invasive Alience Species in Viet Nam

1. General methods

- Improvement ability, skill of staff and knowledge of farmers.

- Priority of prevent method of Invasive Alience Species into Vietnam.

- Strengthen limit methods Invasive Alience Species break into Vietnam

- Assessment pest risk of Invasive Alience Species before import to Vietnam

- Encourage and method implement of control and eradication of Invasive Alience Species.

- Improvement Framework and international relationship for preventing controlling and eradicating Invasive Alience Species.

# 2. Prevent methods

- Strengthen of the legislation and regulation effective enforcement of quarantine of botanical and animal. This methods ensure scientific, equitable and transparent characteristic

- In the important places, where Invasive Alience Species can be into Vietnam, we need manage and survey in period in 1, 2, 3 months, it depend on potential of risk one of Invasive Alience Species.

# 3. Control and eradication methods - Handwork methods - Chemical methods - Biological methods - Integrated methods of above (chemical, biological...) - Early use method for controlling and eradicating Invasive Alience Species.

V. Some Result about control Invasive Alience Species
1. Control golden apple snail (*Pomacea* sp)

Golden apple snails(GASs) are appear
everywhere in the my country and damage young
rice, that why we find out methods for controlling them.

Collect them by hand (GASs and egg) when average diameter of snail is 3cm and density of GASs is 0,65 snail/m2 at rice with 15 days .
Using duck when stem of rice is strong.
Using botanical pesticide and other when average diameter of snail is 3cm and density of GASs is 2,2 snail/m2 at rice with 15 days. Some results can be find out:

Some of botanical plants in Viet Nam can produce botanical products for controling GASs, for example CB- 03, CE-02, CH- 01...

Level of water when use botanical pesticide in the field, influence on effect of CE-02 ... is highest at 5cm of water level>dry land and 15cm..

Dose of CE-02 with 10 kg/ha after 1day effect 63,33% and 3 days 100% this dose no effect on fish; with 15 kg/ ha after 1 day effect 100% days this dose effect on fish after 1 day 26,66 %, 3 days 86,66%.

# 2. Control giant sensitive plant *(Mimosa pigra* L*.)*

Giant sensitive plant is appear very wide in Viet nam, but in Tram chim national Garden, *Mimosa pigra* L .growth very fast and it invaded local plants.

Situation of *Mimosa pigra* L.invaded local plant in Tram chim can be shower in the table 1

# table 1: Situation of *Mimosa pigra* L.invaded local plant in Tram chim

|   | Time      | Area was<br>invaded (ha) | Time<br>surveying |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 1984-1985 | Small                    |                   |
| 2 | 1999      | 148                      | To Nov. 1999      |
| 3 | 2000      | 490                      | To May 2000       |
| 4 | 2001      | 958                      | To July 2001      |
| 5 | 2002      | 1,900                    | To July 2002      |
| 6 | 2003      | 2,200                    | To July 2003      |
| 7 | 2004-2005 | 4,000                    | Forecast          |
|   |           |                          |                   |

Data show in the table 1, we know that invaded ability of *Mimosa pigra* L is very high, after 20 year area of *Mimosa pigra* L is 4000 ha/7,588 ha (about 52.7%)

That why, we find out methods for controlling *Mimosa pigra* L is necessary, some methods were applied for controlling *Mimosa pigra* L :

Pull up and cut *Mimosa pigra* L when plants are young

Burn, but it is not good with condition in Viet Nam



# 3. Control insect (Brontispa longissima)

Periwinkle were imported into Viet Nam, but it take with *Brontispa longissima* and damage coconut in Viet Nam in 1999. Now , to Aug.2001, by MARD Insects (*Brontispa longissima*) appeared at 21/21 provinces in the South of Viet Nam and 1,417,141 coconut wewe damaged . The end of 2002 Insects (*Brontispa longissima*) appeared in 30/30 provinces in the South, 9,359,403 coconut were damaged. Some methods were used, chemical method was applied, it have effective and control Insects (*Brontispa longissima*) on 4,154,764 coconuts, but sprinkle is very different when use and reduce of level of pesticide is high:

| Asecodes hispinarum (May 2005) |                  |                |                                    |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|
|                                | Provinces        | Released time  | Number of rehabilitated coconut(%) |  |
| 1                              | Ca mau           | 27th Sep. 2004 | 34                                 |  |
| 2                              | Soc trang        | 13th Dec. 2004 | 15                                 |  |
| 3                              | Can tho          | 17th Sep. 2004 | 64                                 |  |
| 4                              | An giang         | 30th Jua.2004  | 45                                 |  |
| 5                              | Kien giang       | 23th Aug 2004  | 32                                 |  |
| 6                              | Dong thap        | 16th Dec. 2004 | 42                                 |  |
| 7                              | Vinh long        | 1st Ap. 2004   | 76                                 |  |
| 8                              | Tra vinh         | 3th Sep. 2004  | 94                                 |  |
| 9                              | Tien giang       | 1st Mar. 2004  | 78                                 |  |
| 10                             | Ben tre          | 14th Aug 2003  | 81                                 |  |
| 11                             | Long an          | 30th Aug. 2004 | 60                                 |  |
| 12                             | Ho Chi Minh city | 13th Aug. 2004 | 51                                 |  |
| 13                             | Dong nai         | 10th June 2004 | 55                                 |  |
| 14                             | Binh duong       | Oct. 2004      | 33                                 |  |
| 15                             | Binh thuan       | 3th Nov 2004   | 80                                 |  |

# Table 2. Result Rehabilitated coconut when releasedAsecodes hispinarum (May 2005)

# **VI.** Conclusion

Some Invasive Alience Species into Viet Nam bring about serious consequences and damage produce, environment and economy (risk). We find out some methods for controlling and prevent Invasive Alience Species.

Thank you for your attention.

# SINGAPORE RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC

# Recommendation 1 Name : Mr. Ong Kheng Ho

1. Term 1A

Could we look at compiling a list of invasive that has affected the region (APEC) together with applied measures for their eradication and prevention?

- 2. To compile an accessible list of common and potential invasive pest list and associate mitigation measures for APEC Economies to carry out Biosecurity Planning
- 3. To set up Guidelines for Biosecurity Planning
- 4. To host on a suitable web-site e-learning training programmes & workshop proceedings for SPS Building Activities to multiply training possibilities.
- 5. To compile a list of institutions or persons available in pest identification for the APEC Region.

# **RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC**

## **Recommendation 2**

Suggestion – Regional information center for the APEC member countries ASEAN- in the future when member contries are ready with their pest list the ASEAN member countries can set up an information center to be hosted by one of the countries.

# THAILAND RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC

# Name: Mr. Woothisak

# **Recommendation 3**

• What should be done after the workshop in terms of Biosecurity Planning in order to follow up the Biosecurity program among APEC member

# **RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC**

#### **Recommendation 4**

Well planned actions of this workshop are highly required to assist APEC member economies develop an effective Bio-security Planning for both individual and the region. In this regards, it is experted that APEC not confine wider actions, such as the followings:

- Providing technical assistance and financial support to those APEC countries whose bio-security system is still left behind
- Developing networking system to enable an effective information and experience exchange among APEC member countries.

- Facilitating APEC member countries to get better access to international funding agencies having interest on this issue.
- Establishing a 'working group' on Bio-security at both national and regional level. The WG should have a regular meeting for sharing information and experience as well as develop a monitoring and evaluation of the Bio-security planning that have been established in each country.

#### MALAYSIA RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC Recommendation 5

- 1. To continue to provide funding for the following :
  - i. Follow up workshops to create awareness, hands-on programs on all aspects of biosecurity for relevant parties.
  - ii. Training for capacity building especially for taxonomy and curation and preservation of specimens.
- 2. To provide a directory of experts in all disciplines related to biosecurity
- 3. To coordinate linkages of on-line data bases
- 4. To establish a regional portal on food safety, animal and plant health.

# **RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC Recommendation**

The international portal zooming on regional basis provide an interesting elevation of further capturing the ICT to enhance networking capabilities and developing capacity to the regional economies. The idea mooted by Mr. Mike should be taken seriously, both by APEC or country economies to compliment the existing 'nets'. It may be a right path for a particular country as the initiatives to host the portal.

# CHINA RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC

# Recommendation

- 1. APEC Workshop on Management of IAS
  - To share the success experience and knowledge on eradication, mitigation and control of invaded plant pests.
  - To develop effective control methods for newly invaded plant pests
  - When : October or November 2006, field trip can be arranged to demonstrate the control effects.
  - Host : select an APEC member, who has success cases' Researches on IAS.
- 2. Develop an International Cooperation Project Proposal on Prevention management of IAS in Agriculture Forestry
  - Targets can be considered on : Alligator Weed, Water hyacinth, Cofton Weed, Imported Red Ant, Golden Apple Snail, Coconut Beetle

- Seek international donors; or/ to get funding support from their own domestic funding sources.

## PHILIPPINES RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC

- 1. Establishment of a hub for APEC member countries or countries in the region that will serve or repository for all data/ information on pest list, biosecurity plans, invasive alien species and their management.
- 2. Come up with a document on a detailed (step-wise procedure /process) biosecurity planning which would discuss/present all the steps involved in conducting / doing the plan like identifying threats; element of biosecurity, surveillance, etc. This would give member countries especially developing countries a clear understanding on how to do biosecurity planning. Conduct a hands on workshop on how to do planning.
- 3. Training for NPPOs on identifying threats, establishment the pest list, surveillance and other elements of biosecurity.

# **BIOSECURITY RECOMMENDATIONS TO APEC**

Workshops delegates noted the disparity between developed and developing economies in expanding exports of agricultural commodities. The problem arise because many developing economies cannot provide an adequate descriptor of the health (ps...) status of their agricultural industries. {24 consequently follows that} developing economies will also exp.... Difficulties in detecting and identifying incursion of new exotic pest { .....} that have the potential to further limit / constrain trading opportunities.

Workshops delegates recommend that the ATCWG secretariat advise the sum of the disparity in trading opportunities {between developed and developing economies} and the under lying reason for this. Further workshops delegates recommend that the ATCWG of the underlying reason for this disparity { in trading opportunities) noting that deficiencies in plant health infras... in developing economies expose these economies of the ..... of introducing serious exotic plant pest that will .... Constrain / limit trading opportunities.